

About Bianchi I with time varying constants.

José Antonio Belinchón¹

¹*Dept. of Physics. ETS Architecture. UPM Av. Juan de Herrera 4. Madrid 28040. España.**

(Dated: July 20, 2007)

In this paper we study how to attack through different techniques a perfect fluid Bianchi I model with variable G , and Λ . These tactics are: Lie groups method (LM), imposing a particular symmetry, self-similarity (SS), matter collineations (MC). and kinematical self-similarity (KSS). We compare both tactics since they are quite similar (symmetry principles). We arrive to the conclusion that the LM is too restrictive and brings us to get only the flat FRW solution with $G = \text{const.}$ and $\Lambda = 0$. The SS, MC and KSS approaches bring us to obtain the following solution: G is a decreasing time function and $\Lambda \approx t^{-2}$, with $\Lambda < 0$, while the exponents of the scale factor must satisfy the conditions $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i^2 < 1$, $\forall \omega \in (-1, 1)$, relaxing in this way the Kasner conditions.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Hw, 04.20.Jb, 02.20.Hj, 06.20.Jr

Contents

I. Introduction.	2
II. The Model.	3
A. The crucial equations.	4
III. Curvature behavior.	6
IV. Lie Method.	7
A. Scale symmetry.	8
B. Exponential behavior.	9
C. Solution with the full symmetry.	10
V. Self-similar solution.	11
VI. Matter collineations.	15
A. The usual matter collineation equations.	15
B. Modified matter collineations equations	16
C. The complete modification of MC equations.	17
VII. Kinematic Self-similarity.	17
VIII. Conclusions.	21
Acknowledgments	22
References	22
A. Lie Method.	23
1. Scaling symmetry	24
B. On self-similar solutions.	26
1. “Constants” constant.	26
2. G -variable.	27
3. Λ -variable.	28
4. G & Λ -variable.	29

*Electronic address: abelcal@ciccp.es

I. INTRODUCTION.

Ever since Dirac first considered the possibility of a G variable (see [1]), there have been numerous modifications of general relativity to allow for a variable G , nevertheless these theories have not gained wide acceptance. However, recently (see [2]-[11]) a modification has been proposed treating G and Λ as non-constants coupling scalars. So it is considered G and Λ as coupling scalars within the Einstein equations, $R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij} = GT_{ij} - \Lambda g_{ij}$, while the other symbols have their usual meaning and hence the principle of equivalence then demands that only g_{ij} and not G and Λ must enter the equation of motion of particles and photons. In this way the usual conservation law, $divT = 0$, holds. Taking the divergence of the Einstein equations and using the Bianchi identities we obtain an equation that controls the variation of G and Λ . These are the modified field equations that allow to take into account a variable G and Λ . Nevertheless this approach has some drawbacks, for example, it cannot be derived from a Hamiltonian, although there are several advantages in the approach.

There are many publications devoted to study the variation of G and Λ in the framework of flat FRW symmetries (see for example [2]-[11]) and all this work has been extended to more complicated geometries, like for example Bianchi I models, which represent the simplest generalization of the flat FRW models (see for example [12]-[15] in the context of perfect fluids and [16]-[18] in the context of viscous fluids). Bianchi I models are important in the study of anisotropies.

But in our opinion, the problem arises when one tries to solve the resulting field equations (FE). It seems that it is unavoidable to make simplifying hypotheses, or to impose ad hoc some particular behaviour for some of the quantities of the model, in order to obtain an exact solution to the FE. Such simplifying hypotheses are made for mathematical reasons (in order to reduce the number of unknowns) although they are justified from the physical point of view. Usually such assumptions or simplifying hypotheses follow a power law, for example, the quantity X follows a power law, i.e. $X = X_0 t^\alpha$, where X_0 is an appropriate dimensional constant, t is the cosmic time (for example) and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (usually $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, but this is another question), and depending on the nature of the quantity X , α will be positive or negative. Actually we think that although all these simplifying hypotheses are correct or at least bring us to obtain correct results, it is not necessary to do that, since they may be deduced from symmetry principles in such a way that one may justify (deduce) them from a correct mathematical principle, and usually all these approaches have physical meaning.

Therefore the main goal of this paper is to develop and compare some well known tactics (approaches) in order to study and find exact solutions for a perfect fluid Bianchi I model with variable G and Λ , but trying to make the lowest number of assumptions or none. We will try to show that with these approaches all the usual simplifying hypotheses may be deduced from a correct mathematical principle and how the useful ones are each tactic, i.e. to show the advantages and disadvantages of each approach. We have started studying this class of models because, as we have mentioned above, there are many well known exact solutions so we will be able to compare the usefulness of our approach. In a forthcoming paper we extend this study to a very complicated model (from the mathematical point of view) which is a perfect fluid Bianchi I within the framework of a variable speed of light (VSL) where we have to study, for example a third order ODE with four unknowns, and we need to be sure that these tactics, the exposed ones here, work well. Hence in this paper we are going to study a Bianchi I model with variable G and Λ through the Lie group method (LM), studying the symmetries of the resulting ODE, and through the self-similarity (SS), matter collineations (MC) as well as kinematical self-similarity (KSS) hypothesis.

The paper is divided in the following sections: In section two we outline the main ingredients of the model as well as the FE (under the condition $divT = 0$). In order to apply the (LM) we need to deduce an ODE. For this purpose we have followed the model proposed by Kalligas, Wesson and Everitt, [13], but taking into account some little differences. In section three are calculated all the curvature tensors, Weyl etc... as well as their invariants, i.e. Kretschmann scalars etc.... In section four it is studied through the Lie group tactic a third order differential equation with two unknowns. We seek the possible forms that may take G in order to make integrable the ODE. In this way we find that there are three possibilities, but the question here, is that all the studied solutions are unphysical (in the sense that the shear vanishes i.e. $\sigma = 0$) or trivial. Actually this is a very surprising result, since the third solution is very similar to the obtained one in reference [13], but if one follows all the calculations until the end, then arrives to the conclusion that such solution is the flat FRW one with G constant and with a vanishing Λ . Since in the case of a c -var the third order ODE is quite complicated, in appendix A, we study the resulting second order ODE, which is simpler than the third one but has the drawback of having three unknowns. In this case it is really difficult to arrive to some conclusions since the obtained solutions depend on many integrating constants although the solutions have or follow the same behavior, in order of magnitude, as in the previous case.

In section five, we study the model under the self-similarity hypothesis. In this case, the obtained solution is similar (in order of magnitude) to the obtained one in the above section (LM with scaling symmetries). Nevertheless in this case we are able to obtain a solution with shear non-vanishing i.e. $\sigma \neq 0$, where G is a decreasing time function and $\Lambda \approx t^{-2}$, with $\Lambda < 0$, while the exponents of the scale factor must satisfy the conditions $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i^2 < 1$, $\forall \omega \in (-1, 1)$, relaxing in this way the Kasner conditions. Since the model is SS, then, in section six,

we study the model studying the matter collineations (MC). In this occasion we need to reformulate or reinterpret the MC equations in order to get information on the behavior of G and Λ , arriving to the same conclusions as in the above section. In the last section we reproduce the same tactic but this time under the KSS hypothesis, in this occasion we get a non-singular solution and with the same behavior for the main quantities as the obtained one in the above sections. We end this section discussing the Kasner like solutions. We end with a brief conclusions.

We have add another appendix, appendix B, where we review a set of solutions for Bianchi I models with time varying constants but under the hypothesis $\text{div}T \neq 0$, as well as, the standard one, which is the model where $G = \text{const.}$ and $\Lambda = 0$. We arrive to similar conclusions as the obtained ones in the paper.

II. THE MODEL.

Throughout the paper M will denote the usual smooth (connected, Hausdorff, 4-dimensional) spacetime manifold with smooth Lorentz metric g of signature $(-, +, +, +)$. Thus M is paracompact. A comma, semi-colon and the symbol $\mathcal{L}$ denote the usual partial, covariant and Lie derivative, respectively, the covariant derivative being with respect to the Levi-Civita connection on M derived from g . The associated Ricci and stress-energy tensors will be denoted in component form by $R_{ij}(\equiv R^c_{jcd})$ and T_{ij} respectively. A diagonal Bianchi I space-time is a spatially homogeneous space-time which admits an abelian group of isometries G_3 , acting on spacelike hypersurfaces, generated by the spacelike KVs $\xi_1 = \partial_x, \xi_2 = \partial_y, \xi_3 = \partial_z$. In synchronous co-ordinates the metric is:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A_\mu^2(t)(dx^\mu)^2 \quad (1)$$

where the metric functions $A_1(t), A_2(t), A_3(t)$ are functions of the time co-ordinate only (Greek indices take the space values 1, 2, 3 and Latin indices the space-time values 0, 1, 2, 3). In this paper we are interested only in *proper diagonal* Bianchi I space-times (which in the following will be referred for convenience simply as Bianchi I space-times), hence all metric functions are assumed to be different and the dimension of the group of isometries acting on the spacelike hypersurfaces is three. Therefore we consider the Bianchi type I metric as

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + X^2(t)dx^2 + Y^2(t)dy^2 + Z^2(t)dz^2, \quad (2)$$

see for example ([19]-[25]).

For a perfect fluid with energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_{ij} = (\rho + p) u_i u_j + p g_{ij}, \quad (3)$$

where we are assuming an equation of state $p = \omega\rho$, ($\omega = \text{const.}$). Note that here we have preferred to assume this equation of state but as we will show in the following sections this equation may be deduced from the symmetries principles as for example the self-similar one. The 4-velocity is defined as follows

$$u = \left(\frac{1}{c}, 0, 0, 0\right), \quad u_i u^i = -1. \quad (4)$$

The time derivatives of G and Λ are related by the Bianchi identities

$$\left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ij}\right)^{ij} = \left(\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{ij} - \Lambda g_{ij}\right)^{ij}, \quad (5)$$

in this case this equation reads:

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left[\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} + \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right) \right] = -\dot{\Lambda} - \frac{8\pi}{c^4} \dot{G}\rho, \quad (6)$$

in our case we obtain (taking into account the additional condition ($\text{div}T = 0$)) :

$$\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} + \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right) = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\dot{\Lambda} = -\frac{8\pi}{c^4} \dot{G}\rho, \quad (8)$$

in the appendix B we will study how to obtain different cosmological models, under the self-similarity hypothesis, with one or several time varying “constants” but without the assumed condition $\text{div } T = 0$.

Therefore the resulting field equations are:

$$\frac{\dot{X}}{X} \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} \rho + \Lambda c^2, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\ddot{Z}}{Z} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^2} \omega \rho + \Lambda c^2, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} + \frac{\ddot{Z}}{Z} + \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^2} \omega \rho + \Lambda c^2, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} + \frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^2} \omega \rho + \Lambda c^2, \quad (12)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} + \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right) = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\dot{\Lambda} = -\frac{8\pi}{c^4} \dot{G} \rho. \quad (14)$$

Now, if we define

$$H = \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} + \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right) = 3 \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \quad \text{and} \quad R^3 = XYZ, \quad q = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) - 1, \quad (15)$$

it is regained eq.(13) as the usual conservation equation i.e.

$$\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) H = 0. \quad (16)$$

Since we have defined the 4-velocity by eq. (4) then the expansion θ is defined as follows:

$$\theta := u_{;i}^i, \quad \theta = \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} + \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right) = \frac{1}{c} H, \quad (17)$$

and therefore the acceleration is:

$$a_i = u_{i;j} u^j, \quad (18)$$

in this case $a = 0$, while the shear is defined as follows:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{i;a} h_j^a + u_{j;a} h_i^a) - \frac{1}{3} \theta h_{ij}, \quad (19)$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{ij} \sigma^{ij}, \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3c^2} \left(\left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right)^2 - \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} - \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} - \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right), \quad (20)$$

or equivalently

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{6c^2} \left(\left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} - \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} - \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} - \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right)^2 \right). \quad (21)$$

A. The crucial equations.

In this section we would like to obtain a ODE which allows us to study all the field equations through the Lie method. For this purpose we are following closely the paper by Kalligas et al (see [13]). From eqs. (10-12) and taking into account eq. (9), we get:

$$\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} + \frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\ddot{Z}}{Z} = -\frac{4\pi}{c^2} (1 + 3\omega) G \rho + \Lambda c^2. \quad (22)$$

Now, taking into account eq. (13), squaring it we get

$$\left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^2 = (1 + \omega)^2 H^2, \quad (23)$$

since

$$H^2 = \left(\left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{Z}}{Z}\right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\dot{X}\dot{Y}}{XY} + \frac{\dot{X}\dot{Z}}{XZ} + \frac{\dot{Y}\dot{Z}}{YZ} \right) \right), \quad (24)$$

and taking into account (9) we get

$$\left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^2 = (1 + \omega)^2 \left(\left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{Z}}{Z}\right)^2 + \frac{16\pi}{c^2} G\rho + 2\Lambda c^2 \right). \quad (25)$$

The time derivative $\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho}$ from eq. (13) can now be expressed in terms of G, Λ and ρ only by using eqs. (22) and (25), it is obtained:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^2 \frac{2 + \omega}{(1 + \omega)^2} - \frac{\ddot{\rho}}{\rho(1 + \omega)} = \frac{12\pi}{c^2} G\rho(1 - \omega) + 3\Lambda c^2. \quad (26)$$

Now if we rewrite this equation in an appropriate way we get the following one that we will also study through the Lie method (see appendix A).. i.e.

$$\ddot{\rho} = K_1 \frac{\dot{\rho}^2}{\rho} + K_2 G\rho^2 - K_3 \Lambda\rho, \quad (27)$$

where

$$K_1 = \frac{2 + \omega}{(1 + \omega)}, \quad K_2 = \frac{12\pi(\omega^2 - 1)}{c^2}, \quad K_3 = 3(1 + \omega)c^2. \quad (28)$$

as it is observed if $\omega = 1$, then $K_2 = 0$, so eq.(27) collapses to a very simple ODE with only two unknowns.

In order to reduce the number of unknowns, now, on differentiating eq. (26) and taking into account eq. (14) we arrive to the following equation

$$\ddot{\rho} = K_1 \dot{\rho} \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} - K_2 \frac{\dot{\rho}^3}{\rho^2} + K_3 \dot{G}\rho^2 - K_4 G\dot{\rho}\rho, \quad (29)$$

where

$$K_1 = \frac{5 + 3\omega}{1 + \omega}, \quad K_2 = \frac{4 + 2\omega}{1 + \omega}, \quad K_3 = \frac{12\pi(1 + \omega)^2}{c^2}, \quad K_4 = \frac{12\pi(1 - \omega^2)}{c^2}, \quad (30)$$

we are supposing that $\omega \neq -1$. This equation i.e. eq. (29) will be studied exhaustively through the Lie method in section IV.

As it is observed if $\omega = 1$ (ultra-stiff matter) eq. (29) collapses to

$$\ddot{\rho} = 4\dot{\rho} \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} - 3 \frac{\dot{\rho}^3}{\rho^2} + \frac{48\pi}{c^2} \dot{G}\rho^2. \quad (31)$$

The shear is calculated as follows. If we take into account the definition of the shear i.e. eq. (21) as well as eqs. (9),(13) and (24) then we arrive to the following expression

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{2}{6c^2} H^2 - \left(8\pi \frac{G}{c^4} \rho + \Lambda \right) = \frac{1}{3c^2(1 + \omega)^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^2 - 8\pi \frac{G}{c^4} \rho - \Lambda \quad (32)$$

note that $\omega \neq -1$. We are only interested in solutions which verify $\sigma \neq 0$.

Remark 1 In reference ([13]) the authors define the shear in a different way (see eq. 14 of reference ([13])). They define the anisotropy energy as

$$8\pi G\sigma = 6 \left(3 \left(\frac{R'}{R} \right)^2 - \left(8\pi \frac{G}{c^4} \rho + \Lambda \right) \right), \quad (33)$$

which is similar to our definition of shear (compare with eq. 32).

III. CURVATURE BEHAVIOR.

The full contraction of the Riemann tensor (see for example [26]-[29])

$$I_1 := R_{ijkl} R^{ijkl}, \quad (34)$$

$$I_1 = \frac{4}{c^4} \left[\left(\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\ddot{Z}}{Z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{X}\dot{Y}}{X Y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{X}\dot{Z}}{X Z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{Y}\dot{Z}}{Y Z} \right)^2 \right], \quad (35)$$

The full contraction of the Ricci tensor

$$I_2 := R_{ij} R^{ij}, \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 = \frac{2}{c^4} & \left[\left(\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\ddot{Z}}{Z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\ddot{X}\ddot{Y}}{X Y} \right) + \left(\frac{\ddot{X}\ddot{Z}}{X Z} \right) + \left(\frac{\ddot{Y}\ddot{Z}}{Y Z} \right) + \left(\frac{\ddot{X}\dot{X}\dot{Y}}{X X Y} \right) + \right. \\ & + \left(\frac{\ddot{X}\dot{X}\dot{Z}}{X X Z} \right) + \left(\frac{\dot{Y}\dot{X}}{Y X} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} \right)^2 \frac{\dot{Y}\dot{Z}}{Y Z} + \left(\frac{\dot{Z}\dot{X}}{Z X} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\ddot{Y}\dot{Y}\dot{X}}{Y Y X} \right) + \left(\frac{\ddot{Y}\dot{Y}\dot{Z}}{Y Y Z} \right) + \\ & \left. + \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \left(\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} \right)^2 \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} + \left(\frac{\dot{Y}\dot{Z}}{Y Z} \right)^2 + \frac{\ddot{Z}\dot{Z}\dot{X}}{Z Z X} + \frac{\ddot{Z}\dot{Z}\dot{Y}}{Z Z Y} + \left(\frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right)^2 \frac{\dot{Y}\dot{X}}{Y X} \right], \quad (37) \end{aligned}$$

and the scalar curvature R is:

$$R = \frac{2}{c^2} \left(\frac{X''}{X} + \frac{Y''}{Y} + \frac{Z''}{Z} + \frac{X'Y'}{XY} + \frac{Y'Z'}{YZ} + \frac{X'Z'}{XZ} \right). \quad (38)$$

We calculate the Weyl tensor as well as the magnetic and the electric part of the Weyl tensor. The non-zero components of the Weyl tensor are:

$$C_{1212} = \frac{X^2}{6} \left(-2 \frac{X''}{X} + \frac{X'}{X} \left(\frac{Y'}{Y} + \frac{Z'}{Z} \right) + \frac{Y''}{Y} + \frac{Z''}{Z} - 2 \frac{Y'Z'}{YZ} \right), \quad (39)$$

$$C_{1313} = \frac{Y^2}{6} \left(-2 \frac{Y''}{Y} + \frac{Y'}{Y} \left(\frac{X'}{X} + \frac{Z'}{Z} \right) + \frac{X''}{X} + \frac{Z''}{Z} - 2 \frac{X'Z'}{XZ} \right), \quad (40)$$

$$C_{1414} = \frac{Z^2}{6} \left(-2 \frac{Z''}{Z} + \frac{Z'}{Z} \left(\frac{X'}{X} + \frac{Y'}{Y} \right) + \frac{X''}{X} + \frac{Y''}{Y} - 2 \frac{X'Y'}{XY} \right), \quad (41)$$

$$C_{2323} = -\frac{X^2 Y^2}{6c^2} \left(-2 \frac{Z''}{Z} + \frac{Z'}{Z} \left(\frac{X'}{X} + \frac{Y'}{Y} \right) + \frac{X''}{X} + \frac{Y''}{Y} - 2 \frac{X'Y'}{XY} \right), \quad (42)$$

$$C_{2424} = -\frac{X^2 Z^2}{6c^2} \left(-2 \frac{Y''}{Y} + \frac{Y'}{Y} \left(\frac{X'}{X} + \frac{Z'}{Z} \right) + \frac{X''}{X} + \frac{Z''}{Z} - 2 \frac{X'Z'}{XZ} \right), \quad (43)$$

$$C_{3434} = -\frac{Y^2 Z^2}{6c^2} \left(-2 \frac{X''}{X} + \frac{X'}{X} \left(\frac{Y'}{Y} + \frac{Z'}{Z} \right) + \frac{Y''}{Y} + \frac{Z''}{Z} - 2 \frac{Y'Z'}{YZ} \right), \quad (44)$$

where $X' := \dot{X}$.

The non-zero components of the electric part of the Weyl tensor are:

$$E_{22} = \frac{X^2}{6c^2} \left(-2 \frac{X''}{X} + \frac{X'}{X} \left(\frac{Y'}{Y} + \frac{Z'}{Z} \right) + \left(\frac{Y''}{Y} + \frac{Z''}{Z} - 2 \frac{Y'Z'}{YZ} \right) \right), \quad (45)$$

$$E_{33} = \frac{Y^2}{6c^2} \left(-2 \frac{Y''}{Y} + \frac{Y'}{Y} \left(\frac{X'}{X} + \frac{Z'}{Z} \right) + \left(\frac{X''}{X} + \frac{Z''}{Z} - 2 \frac{X'Z'}{XZ} \right) \right), \quad (46)$$

$$E_{44} = \frac{Z^2}{6c^2} \left(-2 \frac{Z''}{Z} + \frac{Z'}{Z} \left(\frac{X'}{X} + \frac{Y'}{Y} \right) + \left(\frac{X''}{X} + \frac{Y''}{Y} - 2 \frac{X'Y'}{XY} \right) \right). \quad (47)$$

The magnetic part of the Weyl tensor vanish

$$H_{ij} = 0. \quad (48)$$

The Weyl scalar is defined as:

$$I_3 := C^{abcd}C_{abcd}, \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 = \frac{4}{3c^4} & \left[-\frac{X''}{X} \frac{Y''}{Y} - \frac{X''}{X} \frac{Z''}{Z} - \frac{Y''}{Y} \frac{Z''}{Z} + \left(\frac{X''}{X} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{Y''}{Y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{Z''}{Z} \right)^2 \right. \\ & + \frac{X'Y'}{X} \left(2\frac{Z''}{Z} - \left(\frac{Z'}{Z} \right)^2 - \frac{X''}{X} - \frac{Y''}{Y} \right) + \frac{Y'Z'}{Y} \left(2\frac{X''}{X} - \left(\frac{X'}{X} \right)^2 - \frac{Z''}{Z} - \frac{Y''}{Y} \right) \\ & \left. + \frac{X'Z'}{X} \left(2\frac{Y''}{Y} - \left(\frac{Y'}{Y} \right)^2 - \frac{X''}{X} - \frac{Z''}{Z} \right) + \left(\frac{X'Y'}{X} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{X'Z'}{X} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{Y'Z'}{Y} \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

as it is observed I_3 is also defined as follows:

$$I_3 = I_1 - 2I_2 + \frac{1}{3}R^2, \quad (51)$$

this definition is only valid when $n = 4$.

The gravitational entropy is defined as follows (see [27]-[28]):

$$P^2 = \frac{I_3}{I_2} = \frac{I_1 - 2I_2 - \frac{1}{3}R^2}{I_2} = \frac{I_1}{I_2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{R^2}{I_2} - 2. \quad (52)$$

IV. LIE METHOD.

In this section we will study eq. (29) i.e.

$$\ddot{\rho} = K_1 \ddot{\rho} \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} + K_2 \frac{\dot{\rho}^3}{\rho^2} + K_3 \dot{G} \rho^2 + K_4 G \dot{\rho}, \quad (53)$$

where the $(K_i)_{i=1}^4$ are given by eq. (30), through the Lie group method, i.e. in particular we seek the forms of $G(t)$ for which our field equations admit symmetries i.e. are integrable (see for example [30]-[34]).

Following the standard procedure we need to solve the next system of PDEs

$$\rho^3 \xi_\rho = 0, \quad (54)$$

$$\rho^3 \xi_{\rho\rho} = 0, \quad (55)$$

$$K_1 \rho \eta - K_1 \rho^2 \eta_\rho - 9 \rho^3 \xi_{t\rho} + 3 \rho^3 \eta_{\rho\rho} = 0, \quad (56)$$

$$-K_1 \rho^2 \eta_t + 3 \rho^3 \eta_{t\rho} - 3 \rho^3 \xi_{tt} = 0, \quad (57)$$

$$K_1 \rho^2 \xi_{\rho\rho} + K_2 \rho \xi_\rho - \rho^3 \xi_{\rho\rho\rho} = 0, \quad (58)$$

$$2K_2 \rho \eta_\rho - K_1 \rho^2 \eta_{\rho\rho} + 2K_1 \rho^2 \xi_{t\rho} - 2K_2 \eta - 3 \rho^3 \xi_{t\rho\rho} + \rho^3 \eta_{\rho\rho\rho} = 0, \quad (59)$$

$$3K_2 \rho \eta_t - 2K_1 \rho^2 \eta_{t\rho} + 3K_4 \rho^4 G \xi_\rho + K_1 \rho^2 \xi_{tt} - 3 \rho^3 \xi_{tt\rho} + 3 \rho^3 \eta_{t\rho\rho} = 0, \quad (60)$$

$$K_4 \rho^4 G' \xi + K_4 \rho^3 G \eta + 2K_4 \rho^4 G \xi_t - K_1 \rho^2 \eta_{tt} + 3 \rho^3 \eta_{tt\rho} - \rho^3 \xi_{ttt} - 4K_3 \rho^5 G' \xi_\rho = 0, \quad (61)$$

$$K_4 \rho^4 G \eta_t + \rho^3 \eta_{ttt} + K_3 \rho^5 G' \eta_\rho - 3K_3 \rho^5 G' \xi_t - K_3 \rho^5 G'' \xi - 2K_3 \rho^4 G' \eta = 0, \quad (62)$$

To study all the possible solutions for this system of PDE may be very tedious, for this reason we impose the symmetry $X = (at + e) \partial_t + b\rho \partial_\rho$, i.e. $\xi = at + e$, $\eta = b\rho$, in such a way that we get the following restrictions for $G(t)$. From eq. (61) we get

$$\frac{G'}{G} = -\frac{b+2a}{at+e}, \quad (63)$$

while from eq. (62) it is obtained:

$$\frac{G''}{G'} = -\frac{3a+b}{at+e}, \quad (64)$$

where $a, b, e \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $[a] = [b] = 1$, i.e. they are dimensionless constants but $[e] = T$, with respect to a dimensional base $B = \{L, M, T\}$. So we are going to study the different solutions that we may obtain in function of the constants a, b, e .

A. Scale symmetry.

Making $e = 0$ i.e. considering only $(\xi = at, \eta = b\rho)$, we have to integrate eqs. (63 and 64), so

$$\frac{G'}{G} = -\frac{b+2a}{at}, \quad \implies \quad G = G_0 (t)^{-(2+\frac{b}{a})}, \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{G''}{G'} = -\frac{3a+b}{at}, \quad \implies \quad G = C_2 + G_0 (t)^{-(2+\frac{b}{a})}, \quad (66)$$

therefore we get

$$G = G_0 (t)^{-(2+\frac{b}{a})}, \quad (67)$$

where we assume that $G_0 > 0$.

The invariant solution for the energy density is:

$$\frac{bdt}{at} = \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \quad \implies \quad \rho = \rho_0 t^{b/a}, \quad (68)$$

and for physical reasons we impose the condition, $ab < 0$ then $b < 0$. We have considered only the invariant solution since as we already know, the general one usually lacks of any physical meaning as in this case (see for example [34]). Furthermore, as we will see in section V, this spacetime is self-similar, this means that all the quantities must follow a power law as in this case (see for example [38]-[39]).

If we make that this solution verifies eq. (53) with $G(t)$ given by eq. (67), we find the value of constant ρ_0 , so

$$\rho_0 = -\frac{c^2 b}{G_0 12\pi a (1+\omega)^2}, \quad (69)$$

with the only restriction $\omega \neq -1$. Note that $ab < 0$, so $\rho_0 > 0$.

Remark 2 As we can see, it is verified the relationship $G\rho = t^{-2}$, i.e. the Mach relationship for the inertia.

Therefore, at this time we have the following behavior for $G(t)$

$$G(t) = G_0 t^{-(2+\frac{b}{a})}, \quad G \approx \begin{cases} \text{decreasing if } b/a \in (-2, 0), \\ \text{constant if } b/a = -2, \\ \text{growing if } b/a < -2 \end{cases}. \quad (70)$$

With these solutions we find the behavior of the rest of the quantities. From eq.

$$\dot{\Lambda} = -\frac{8\pi}{c^4} \dot{G}\rho = \frac{8\pi}{c^4} \left(2 + \frac{b}{a}\right) \rho_0 G_0 t^{-3}, \implies \Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \frac{1}{3c^2 (1+\omega)^2} \frac{b}{a} \left(2 + \frac{b}{a}\right), \quad (71)$$

i.e.

$$\Lambda(t) = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 \approx \begin{cases} \text{negative if } b/a \in (-2, 0), \\ \text{vanish if } b/a = -2, \\ \text{positive if } b/a < -2 \end{cases}, \quad (72)$$

therefore, if $\Lambda_0 > 0$, then G is a growing time function but if $\Lambda_0 < 0$, then G is decreasing.

Whit regard to H we find that from eq. (15)

$$H = - \left(\frac{b}{a(1+\omega)} \right) \frac{1}{t}, \quad q = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) - 1 = \frac{a(1+\omega) - b}{b}, \quad (73)$$

so

$$R = R_0 \rho^{-1/3(1+\omega)} = R_0 t^{-b/3a(1+\omega)}, \quad XYZ = R_0 t^{-b/a(1+\omega)}. \quad (74)$$

If we assume that the functions (X, Y, Z) follow a power law (i.e. $X = X_0 t^{\alpha_1}$) then we get the following result

$$K t^\alpha = R_0 t^{-b/a(1+\omega)}, \quad \implies \quad \sum_i^3 \alpha_i = \alpha = -\frac{b}{a(1+\omega)} \quad (75)$$

where we may assume that $(\alpha_i) > 0, \forall i$ and $(\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j)$ although $(\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_j)$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$, with $i \neq j$, but we have not more information about this behavior.

The shear is calculated as follows.

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3c^2(1+\omega)^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^2 - \left(8\pi \frac{G}{c^4} \rho + \Lambda \right) = \frac{1}{3c^2(1+\omega)^2} \left(\frac{b^2}{a^2} + 2\frac{b}{a} - \frac{b}{a} \left(2 + \frac{b}{a} \right) \right) t^{-2} = 0. \quad (76)$$

therefore the shear vanish. In this way, this is an unphysical solution since we are only interested in solutions which verify the condition $\sigma \neq 0$. Actually, we have obtained the flat FRW solution as we will show at the end of this section.

Nevertheless if we follow the hypothesis of power law for the scale factors (X, Y, Z) , then we get from the definition of the shear (see eq. (20)) that it follows

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{6c^2} \left(\sum_i^3 \alpha_i^2 - \sum_{i \neq j}^3 \alpha_i \alpha_j \right) \frac{1}{t^2} \neq 0, \quad (77)$$

note that $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ when $(\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_j)$. Note that at least one expect this behavior but as we will see in the bellow subsection (full symmetry) actually $\sigma = 0$, since $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$, (the flat FRW solution) so this is an unexpected result.

B. Exponential behavior.

Making $a = 0$, we have $(\xi = e, \eta = b\rho)$, so integrating eqs. (63-64) it yields

$$\frac{G'}{G} = -\frac{b}{e}, \implies G = G_0 \exp\left(-\frac{b}{e}t\right), \quad (78)$$

$$\frac{G''}{G'} = -\frac{b}{e}, \implies G = C_2 + G_0 \exp\left(-\frac{b}{e}t\right), \quad (79)$$

in this way we obtain

$$G = G_0 \exp\left(-\frac{b}{e}t\right), \quad (80)$$

where we assume that $G_0 > 0$

The invariant solution for the energy density is:

$$\frac{bdt}{e} = \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \quad \implies \quad \rho = \rho_0 \exp\left(\frac{b}{e}t\right) \quad (81)$$

with the restriction, $eb < 0$ with $b < 0$, from physical considerations. In order to calculate the value of constant ρ_0 , this solution must verifies eq. (53) finding in this way that constant ρ_0 , vanish i.e. $\rho_0 = 0$. Note that with the obtained behavior for G and ρ , such functions must verify the field eq. (14).

C. Solution with the full symmetry.

In this case we have the full symmetry i.e. $(\xi = at + e, \eta = b\rho)$, so, by integrating the constraints we find that

$$\frac{G'}{G} = -\frac{b+2a}{at+e} \implies G = G_0(at+e)^{-(2a+b)/a}, \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{G''}{G'} = -\frac{3a+b}{at+e} \implies G = G_0(at+e)^{-(2a+b)/a}, \quad (83)$$

with $[e] = T$, that is, we may interpret it as a characteristic time, like in the ad hoc solution given by Kalligas et al (see [13]).

The invariant solution for the energy density is

$$\rho = \rho_0(at+e)^{b/a}, \quad (84)$$

where we need to impose the physical constrain such that $ab < 0$ then $b < 0$, in such a way that it must verifies eq. (53) with $G(t)$ given by eq. (83), finding the value of the numerical constant ρ_0 , so

$$\rho_0 = -\frac{c^2 b}{12\pi a G_0 (1+\omega)^2}, \quad (85)$$

we assume that $\omega \neq -1$. As it is observed this is a nonsingular solution since when $t \rightarrow 0$ if $e \neq 0$, then $\rho \neq \infty$.

Therefore we have the following behavior for $G(t)$:

$$G(t) = G_0(at+e)^{-(2a+b)/a}, \quad G \approx \begin{cases} \text{growing if } b/a \in (-2, 0), \\ \text{constant if } b/a = -2, \\ \text{decreasing if } b/a < -2 \end{cases}. \quad (86)$$

Remark 3 Note once again that it is verified the following relationship: $G\rho \approx (at+e)^{-2}$.

We go next to calculate the rest of the quantities. From (14) we get

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0(at+e)^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \left(\frac{1}{6c^2(1+\omega)^2} \frac{b(2a+b)}{a^2} \right), \quad (87)$$

i.e.

$$\Lambda(t) = \Lambda_0(at+e)^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 \approx \begin{cases} \text{negative if } |b| < 2a, \\ \text{vanish if } |b| = 2a, \\ \text{positive if } |b| > 2a \end{cases}. \quad (88)$$

With regard to the quantity H , we find from eq. (15) that

$$R = R_0\rho^{-1/3(1+\omega)} = R_0(at+e)^{-b/3a(1+\omega)}, \quad (89)$$

and

$$H = -\left(\frac{b}{a(1+\omega)} \right) \frac{1}{t}, \quad q = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) - 1 = -\frac{a(1+\omega)}{b} - 1, \quad (90)$$

hence

$$XYZ = R_0(at+e)^{-b/a(1+\omega)}, \quad (91)$$

so (following the same argument as above) the functions (X, Y, Z) follow a power law (i.e. $X = X_0(at+e)^{\alpha_1}$, etc...) it is found that

$$K(at+e)^\alpha = R_0(at+e)^{-b/a(1+\omega)}, \quad \implies \quad \sum_i^3 \alpha_i = \alpha = -\frac{b}{a(1+\omega)}, \quad (92)$$

where we may “assume” that $(\alpha_i) > 0, \forall i$ and $(\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j)$ although $(\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_j)$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$, and $i \neq j$.

The shear has the following behavior.

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3c^2(1+\omega)^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^2 - \left(8\pi \frac{G}{c^4} \rho + \Lambda \right) = \frac{1}{3c^2(1+\omega)^2} \left(b^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2a^2} \right) + \frac{b}{a} \right) (at + e)^{-2} \neq 0, \quad (93)$$

finding that

$$b(b(2a^2 - 1) + 2a) > 0 \iff b(2a^2 - 1) + 2a < 0, \iff |b(2a^2 - 1)| > 2a \implies \begin{cases} a > \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \\ |b| > \frac{2a}{2a^2 - 1} \end{cases}. \quad (94)$$

since σ_0^2 must be positive.

At this point it seems that we have found a physical solution that depends on the value of constants a and b . But, how to calculate the value of constants $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^3$?

Now we will try to calculate the possible values for the constants $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^3$, simply all these results must satisfy the field eqs., so they are solution of the following system:

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{6} \left(\alpha^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{(1+\omega)} \right), \quad (95)$$

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{6} \left(\alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha(2\omega + 1)}{(1+\omega)} \right), \quad (96)$$

$$\alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{6} \left(\alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha(2\omega + 1)}{(1+\omega)} \right), \quad (97)$$

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_1\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{6} \left(\alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha(2\omega + 1)}{(1+\omega)} \right), \quad (98)$$

and this system has only two solutions, the trivial one ($\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$) and the flat FRW one i.e.

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \frac{2}{3(1+\omega)}, \quad (99)$$

which is incredible, this means, that from eq. (92) we obtain $b = -2$ and $a = 1$, but with these values for constants (a, b) , G is a true “constant”, Λ vanishes and $\sigma = 0$. This solution was obtained by Einstein&de Sitter ([63]) in 1932 for $\omega = 0$, and later by Harrison ([64]) $\forall \omega$.

We think that the followed method is too restrictive and for this reason we obtain only this solution.

Therefore this method brings us to obtain only the classical flat FRW solution with G constant and Λ equal nought.

V. SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTION.

In general relativity, the term self-similarity can be used in two ways. One is for the properties of spacetimes, the other is for the properties of matter fields. These are not equivalent in general. The self-similarity in general relativity was defined for the first time by Cahill and Taub (see [35], and for general reviews [36]-[42]). Self-similarity is defined by the existence of a homothetic vector V in the spacetime, which satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_V g_{ij} = 2\alpha g_{ij}, \quad (100)$$

where g_{ij} is the metric tensor, $\mathcal{L}_V$ denotes Lie differentiation along V and α is a constant. This is a special type of conformal Killing vectors. This self-similarity is called homothety. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then it can be set to be unity by a constant rescaling of V . If $\alpha = 0$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_V g_{ij} = 0$, then V is a Killing vector.

Homothety is a purely geometric property of spacetime so that the physical quantity does not necessarily exhibit self-similarity such as $\mathcal{L}_V Z = dZ$, where d is a constant and Z is, for example, the pressure, the energy density and so on. From equation (100) it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_V R^i{}_{jkl} = 0, \quad (101)$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{L}_V R_{ij} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_V G_{ij} = 0. \quad (102)$$

A vector field V that satisfies the above equations is called a curvature collineation, a Ricci collineation and a matter collineation, respectively. It is noted that such equations do not necessarily mean that V is a homothetic vector. We consider the Einstein equations

$$G_{ij} = 8\pi G T_{ij}, \quad (103)$$

where T_{ij} is the energy-momentum tensor.

If the spacetime is homothetic, the energy-momentum tensor of the matter fields must satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_V T_{ij} = 0, \quad (104)$$

through equations (103) and (102). For a perfect fluid case, the energy-momentum tensor takes the form of eq. (3) i.e. $T_{ij} = (p + \rho)u_i u_j + p g_{ij}$, where p and ρ are the pressure and the energy density, respectively. Then, equations (100) and (104) result in

$$\mathcal{L}_V u^i = -\alpha u^i, \quad \mathcal{L}_V \rho = -2\alpha \rho, \quad \mathcal{L}_V p = -2\alpha p. \quad (105)$$

As shown above, for a perfect fluid, the self-similarity of the spacetime and that of the physical quantity coincide. However, this fact does not necessarily hold for more general matter fields. Thus the self-similar variables can be determined from dimensional considerations in the case of homothety. Therefore, we can conclude homothety as the general relativistic analogue of complete similarity.

From the constraints (105), we can show that if we consider the barotropic equation of state, i.e., $p = f(\rho)$, then the equation of state must have the form $p = \omega \rho$, where ω is a constant. This class of equations of state contains a stiff fluid ($\omega = 1$) as special cases, whitening this theoretical framework. There are many papers devoted to study Bianchi I models (in different context) assuming the hypothesis of self-similarity (see for example [43]-[44]) but here, we would like to try to show how taking into account this class of hypothesis one is able to find exact solutions to the field equations within the framework of the time varying constants.

The homothetic equations are given by eq. (100) so it is a straightforward task to find the homothetic vector field, where in this case is as follows:

$$V = t\partial_t + \left(1 - t\frac{\dot{X}}{X}\right)x\partial_x + \left(1 - t\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y}\right)y\partial_y + \left(1 - t\frac{\dot{Z}}{Z}\right)z\partial_z, \quad (106)$$

iff the following ODE is satisfied

$$\left(X\dot{X} + tX\ddot{X} - t(\dot{X})^2\right)x = 0, \quad (107)$$

and so on with respect to (Y, y) and (Z, z) .

As it is observed from eq. (107) if we simplify this ODE it is obtained the following one:

$$\frac{H'_1}{H_1} = -\frac{1}{t} \iff tH_1 = \text{const.} \iff X = X_0 t^{\alpha_1}, \quad (108)$$

with X_0 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, etc....with respect to the others scale factors. Note that $' := \frac{d}{dt} := \text{dot.}$ i.e. $X' = \dot{X}$.

Therefore, we have obtained the following behavior for the scale factors:

$$X = X_0 t^{\alpha_1}, \quad Y = Y_0 t^{\alpha_2}, \quad Z = Z_0 t^{\alpha_3}, \quad (109)$$

with X_0, Y_0, Z_0 are integrating constants and $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^3 \in \mathbb{R}$. In this way we find that

$$H = \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i\right) \frac{1}{t} = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \frac{1}{t} = \frac{\alpha}{t}, \quad (110)$$

and hence

$$\rho = \rho_0 t^{-(\omega+1)\alpha}. \quad (111)$$

From the field equations (9) and (14) we get that

$$\Lambda' = -\frac{A}{c^2} \frac{2}{t^3} - \frac{8\pi\rho_0}{c^4} \left(G' t^{-(\omega+1)\alpha} - (\omega+1)\alpha G t^{-(\omega+1)\alpha-1}\right), \quad (112)$$

where $A = \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3$, $\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, therefore

$$G = \frac{c^2}{4\pi\rho_0} \frac{A}{(\omega+1)\alpha} t^{(\omega+1)\alpha-2}, \quad (113)$$

as we can see it is verified the relationship $G\rho \approx t^{-2}$, as it is expected.

The behavior of G is the following one:

$$G = G_0 t^{(\omega+1)\alpha-2} \implies \begin{cases} \text{growing if } (\omega+1)\alpha > 2 \\ \text{constant if } (\omega+1)\alpha = 2 \\ \text{decreasing if } (\omega+1)\alpha < 2 \end{cases}, \quad (114)$$

with $G_0 > 0$.

Now, we go next to calculate the quantity Λ , from eq. (112) we get

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \frac{A}{c^2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{(\omega+1)\alpha} \right), \quad (115)$$

in this way it is observed that

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \begin{cases} \Lambda_0 > 0 \iff (\omega+1)\alpha > 2 \\ \Lambda_0 = 0 \iff (\omega+1)\alpha = 2 \\ \Lambda_0 < 0 \iff (\omega+1)\alpha < 2 \end{cases}. \quad (116)$$

The shear behaves (see eq. (20)) as follows:

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3c^2} \left(\sum_i^3 \alpha_i^2 - \sum_{i \neq j}^3 \alpha_i \alpha_j \right) \frac{1}{t^2} \neq 0, \quad (117)$$

by hypothesis, since at this point we have not any information about the value of the constants $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^3$.

In order to find the value of constants (α_i) , we make that them verify the field eqs. so in this case we get the following system of eqs.:

$$\alpha_2 (\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_3 (\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3 \alpha_2 = A \left(\frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right), \quad (118)$$

$$\alpha_1 (\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_3 (\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3 \alpha_1 = A \left(\frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right), \quad (119)$$

$$\alpha_2 (\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_1 (\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = A \left(\frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right), \quad (120)$$

where $A = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3$, and $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$.

So we have the following solutions for this system of equations:

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3, \quad (121)$$

$$\alpha_1 = 1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \quad (122)$$

as it is observed solution (121) is not interesting for us, since it is again the flat FRW solution. Only the second solution has physical meaning (in this framework). Nevertheless we have found that this solution only verifies the first of the condition of the Kasner like solutions i.e.

$$\alpha = \sum \alpha_i = 1, \quad (123)$$

while the second condition

$$\sum \alpha_i^2 = 1, \quad (124)$$

it is not verified (see [61] and [62]). In this case we find that it is verified the condition

$$\sum \alpha_i^2 < 1. \quad (125)$$

Therefore we have found a similar behavior as the obtained one in ([43], we say similar because there the authors only study standard models i.e. models where the “constants” are true constants, in fact $\Lambda = 0$), except than here this result is valid for all equation of state i.e. $\forall \omega \in (-1, 1)$. Nevertheless in reference ([44]), the authors claim that the solution must verify both conditions, i.e. $\sum \alpha_i = 1 = \sum \alpha_i^2$. See the end of the kinematical self-similar solution for a comment on this class of solutions as well as the appendix (B).

Therefore we have obtained the following behavior for the main quantities:

$$H = \frac{1}{t}, \quad \implies \quad q = 0, \quad (126)$$

so it is quite difficult to reconcile this model with the observational data. With regard to the energy density we find that

$$\rho = \rho_0 t^{-(\omega+1)}, \quad (127)$$

so, if $\omega < -1 \implies \rho$ is growing (phantom cosmologies), for the rest of the values of ω , i.e. $\omega \in (-1, 1]$, ρ is a decreasing function on time.

G has now a more restrictive behavior since (we are supposing that $\omega \in (-1, 1]$, i.e. $\omega > 1$, is forbidden)

$$G = G_0 t^{(\omega+1)-2}, \quad \implies \quad \begin{cases} \text{constant if } (\omega = 1) \\ \text{decreasing } \forall \omega \in (-1, 1) \end{cases}, \quad (128)$$

therefore we find that G is a decreasing function on time. The cosmological “constant” behaves as follows

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_0 = 0 \iff \omega = 1 \\ \Lambda_0 < 0, \quad \forall \omega \in (-1, 1) \end{cases}, \quad (129)$$

so we have found that Λ is a negative decreasing function on time.

As we can see, this solution is quite similar to the obtained one through the Lie method with the scaling symmetry, at least in order of magnitude.

With regard to the curvature behavior, we may see that

$$I_1 = \frac{K}{c^4 t^4}, \quad I_2 = \frac{4(-\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 + \alpha_3^2)^2}{c^4 t^4}, \quad (130)$$

where $K = K(\alpha_i) = \text{const} \neq 0$, i.e.

$$K = [3(\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2) + 2\alpha_2 \alpha_3 + 9\alpha_2^2 \alpha_3^2 + 3(\alpha_2^4 + \alpha_3^4) - 6(\alpha_2^3 + \alpha_3^3) + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 (6(\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2) - 8(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3))]. \quad (131)$$

The non-zero components of the Weyl tensor are:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1212} &= K_1 t^{-2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}, & C_{1313} &= K_2 t^{-2(1-\alpha_2)}, & C_{1414} &= K_3 t^{-2(1-\alpha_3)}, \\ C_{2323} &= K_4 t^{-2\alpha_3}, & C_{2424} &= K_5 t^{-2\alpha_2}, & C_{3434} &= K_6 t^{-2(1-\alpha_3-\alpha_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

where $(K_i)_{i=1}^6 = K(\alpha_i) = \text{const} \neq 0$, and taking into account a very famous result by Hall et al (see [47], and the next section VI) we may check that

$$\mathcal{L}_V C_{jkl}^i = 0, \quad (133)$$

as it is shown in ([47]) if a vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, verifies the conditions $\mathcal{L}_V C_{jkl}^i = 0$, and $\mathcal{L}_V T_{ij} = 0$, then $\mathcal{L}_V g = 2g$ i.e. it is a homothetic vector field, but in this case we have arrived to the conclusion that $\mathcal{L}_V g = 2g \iff \mathcal{L}_V T_{ij} = 0$ (as we will see in the next section) and that it is also verified the relationship $\mathcal{L}_V C_{jkl}^i = 0$.

The non-zero components of the electric part of the Weyl tensor are:

$$E_{22} = \tilde{K}_1 t^{-2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}, \quad E_{33} = \tilde{K}_2 t^{-2(1-\alpha_2)}, \quad E_{44} = \tilde{K}_3 t^{-2(1-\alpha_3)}, \quad (134)$$

and the last invariant has the following behavior

$$I_3 = \frac{\hat{K}}{c^4 t^4}, \quad (135)$$

with $\hat{K}$ given by

$$\hat{K} = \frac{16}{3} [\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 - \alpha_2 \alpha_3 + 3\alpha_2^2 \alpha_3^2 + \alpha_2^4 + \alpha_3^4 - 2(\alpha_2^3 + \alpha_3^3) + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 (2(\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2) - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3))], \quad (136)$$

while the gravitational entropy behaves as

$$P^2 = \text{const.} \neq 0, \quad (137)$$

note that $P^2 = I_3/I_2$.

VI. MATTER COLLINEATIONS.

In recent years, much interest has been shown in the study of matter collineation (MCs) (see for example [45]-[53]). A vector field along which the Lie derivative of the energy-momentum tensor vanishes is called an MC, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_V T_{ij} = 0, \quad (138)$$

where V^i is the symmetry or collineation vector. The MC equations, in component form, can be written as

$$T_{ij,k} V^k + T_{ik} V_{,j}^k + T_{kj} V_{,i}^k = 0, \quad (139)$$

where the indices i, j, k run from 0 to 3. Also, assuming the Einstein field equations, a vector V^i generates an MC if $\mathcal{L}_V G_{ij} = 0$. It is obvious that the symmetries of the metric tensor (isometries) are also symmetries of the Einstein tensor G_{ij} , but this is not necessarily the case for the symmetries of the Ricci tensor (Ricci collineations) which are not, in general, symmetries of the Einstein tensor. If V is a Killing vector (KV) (or a homothetic vector), then $\mathcal{L}_V T_{ij} = 0$, thus every isometry is also an MC but the converse is not true, in general. Notice that collineations can be proper (non-trivial) or improper (trivial). Proper MC is defined to be an MC which is not a KV, or a homothetic vector.

Carot et al (see [46]) and Hall et al. (see [47]) have noticed some important general results about the Lie algebra of MCs.

Let M be a spacetime manifold. Then, generically, any vector field V on M which simultaneously satisfies $\mathcal{L}_V T_{ab} = 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_V G_{ab} = 0$) and $\mathcal{L}_V C_{bcd}^a = 0$ is a homothetic vector field.

If V is a Killing vector (KV) (or a homothetic vector), then $\mathcal{L}_V T_{ab} = 0$, thus every isometry is also an MC but the converse is not true, in general. Notice that collineations can be proper (non-trivial) or improper (trivial). Proper MC is defined to be an MC which is not a KV, or a homothetic vector.

Since the ST is SS then we already know that the SS vector field is also matter collineation i.e. we would like to explore how such symmetries allow us to obtain relationships between the quantities in such a way that it is not necessary to make any hypothesis to a solution to the field equations. In order to do that we need to modify the usual MC equations since with the usual one we are not able to obtain information about the behavior of G and Λ .

A. The usual matter collineation equations.

For a vector field $V = (V_i(t, x, y, z))_{i=1}^4$, the matter collineations reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_V T_{ij} = 0, \quad (140)$$

so outlining the equations and integrating them (it is a straightforward task) we obtain the following interesting relationships

$$\frac{\rho'}{\rho} = -2 \frac{V_1'}{V_1}, \quad \implies \rho = K_0 V_1^{-2}. \quad (141)$$

and

$$V_1 \left(\frac{p'}{p} + 2H_1 \right) = -2\partial_x V_2, \quad \implies \quad V_1 \left(\frac{\rho'}{\rho} + 2H_1 \right) = -2\partial_x V_2, \quad \implies \quad V_2 = -V_1 \left(H_1 - \frac{V_1'}{V_1} \right) x, \quad (142)$$

in this way we find that

$$V_3 = -V_1 \left(H_1 - \frac{V_1'}{V_1} \right) y, \quad V_4 = -V_1 \left(H_1 - \frac{V_1'}{V_1} \right) z, \quad (143)$$

therefore the obtained matter collineation (MC) vector field is:

$$V = V_1 \partial_t + V_1 \left(\frac{V_1'}{V_1} - H_1 \right) x \partial_x + V_1 \left(\frac{V_1'}{V_1} - H_2 \right) y \partial_y + V_1 \left(\frac{V_1'}{V_1} - H_3 \right) z \partial_z, \quad (144)$$

where as it is observed if $V_1 = t$, then it is obtained the homothetic vector field (see eq. (106)) as we already know.

For this reason we may also check that the homothetic vector field verify the relationship as well

$$L_{HO}T_{ij} = 0, \quad (145)$$

iff the following ODEs are satisfied:

$$c^2 (\rho' t + 2\rho) = 0, \quad X^2 (p' t + 2p) = 0, \quad (146)$$

$$-px \left(XX' + tXX'' - t(X')^2 \right) = 0, \quad (147)$$

as we can see the ODEs (146) $(\rho' t + 2\rho) = 0$, and $p' t + 2p = 0$ brings us to obtain $p = \omega\rho \approx t^{-2}$, as it is expected in a model without time varying constant and from the eq. $XX' + tXX'' - t(X')^2$ i.e. $H_1 + tH_1' = 0$, that $tH_1 = \text{const.}$ and so on with respect to the scale factors Y and Z i.e. $tH_i = \text{const.}$, $i = 2, 3$.

Since we have not any information about the behavior of G and Λ , we “suggest” the following modification.

B. Modified matter collineations equations

The problem arises when we are considering a model with G and Λ variable, so we suggest to change the above procedure i.e. the standard one by

$$L_V \left(\frac{G(t)}{c^4} T_{ij} \right) = 0. \quad (148)$$

As in the above case it is easily outlined the resulting matter collineations eqs. so it is a straightforward task to integrate them. In this case we have found the following relationships between the quantities:

$$\frac{G'}{G} + \frac{\rho'}{\rho} = -2\frac{V_1'}{V_1}, \quad \implies G\rho = K_0 V_1^{-2}. \quad (149)$$

where K_0 is an integration constant, and as above we find that

$$V_2 = -V_1 \left(H_1 - \frac{V_1'}{V_1} \right) x, \quad V_3 = -V_1 \left(H_1 - \frac{V_1'}{V_1} \right) y, \quad V_4 = -V_1 \left(H_1 - \frac{V_1'}{V_1} \right) z, \quad (150)$$

obtaining again

$$V = V_1 \partial_t + V_1 \left(\frac{V_1'}{V_1} - H_1 \right) x \partial_x + V_1 \left(\frac{V_1'}{V_1} - H_2 \right) y \partial_y + V_1 \left(\frac{V_1'}{V_1} - H_3 \right) z \partial_z, \quad (151)$$

but in this case, it is verified the relationship

$$G\rho = K_0 V_1^{-2}. \quad (152)$$

As in the previous case we may check that its is verified the equation $L_{HO} \left(\frac{G(t)}{c^4} T_{ij} \right) = 0$.

$$\frac{8\pi}{c^2} (t\rho G' + tG\rho' + 2G\rho) = 0, \quad \iff \quad \frac{G'}{G} + \frac{\rho'}{\rho} = -\frac{2}{t} \iff G\rho \approx t^{-2}, \quad (153)$$

$$-px \left(XX' + tXX'' - t(X')^2 \right) = 0, \quad \iff \quad tH_1' = -H_1 \iff X = X_0 t^{\alpha_1}, \quad (154)$$

$$\frac{8\pi}{c^4} X^2 (tpG' + tGp' + 2pG) = 0, \quad \iff \quad \frac{G'}{G} + \frac{p'}{p} = -\frac{2}{t} \iff Gp \approx t^{-2}, \quad (155)$$

from this equations we also arrive to the obvious conclusions that $p = \omega\rho$ with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

But unfortunately we have not any information about the behavior of the cosmological constant Λ for this reason we suggest the following modification.

C. The complete modification of MC equations.

In this case we consider that must be satisfied the following equation

$$L_V \left(\frac{G(t)}{c^4} T_{ij} - \Lambda(t) g_{ij} \right) = 0, \quad (156)$$

that we reinterprets as

$$L_V \left(\frac{G(t)}{c^4} T_{ij} \right) = 0 = L_V (\Lambda(t) g_{ij}). \quad (157)$$

As in the above cases (we are following the same procedure in both cases) we find again that again

$$V = V_1 \partial_t + V_1 \left(\frac{V'_1}{V_1} - H_1 \right) x \partial_x + V_1 \left(\frac{V'_1}{V_1} - H_2 \right) y \partial_y + V_1 \left(\frac{V'_1}{V_1} - H_3 \right) z \partial_z, \quad (158)$$

Therefore checking the relationship

$$L_{HO} \left(\frac{G(t)}{c^4} T_{ij} - \Lambda(t) g_{ij} \right) = 0. \quad (159)$$

it is obtained the following results

$$\frac{8\pi}{c^4} (t\rho G' + tG\rho' + 2G\rho) = -(t\Lambda' + 2\Lambda), \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{G'}{G} + \frac{\rho'}{\rho} = -\frac{2}{t} \Longleftrightarrow G\rho \approx t^{-2}, \quad (160)$$

$$\left(-\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} p + \Lambda \right) x \left(XX' + tXX'' - t(X')^2 \right) = 0, \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad tH'_1 = -H_1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow X = X_0 t^{\alpha_1}, \quad (161)$$

$$\left(-\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} p + \Lambda \right) y \left(YY' + tYY'' - t(Y')^2 \right) = 0, \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad tH'_2 = -H_2 \quad \Longleftrightarrow Y = Y_0 t^{\alpha_2}, \quad (162)$$

$$\left(-\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} p + \Lambda \right) z \left(ZZ' + tZZ'' - t(Z')^2 \right) = 0, \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad tH'_3 = -H_3 \quad \Longleftrightarrow Z = Z_0 t^{\alpha_3}, \quad (163)$$

$$\frac{8\pi}{c^4} (tpG' + tGp' + 2pG) = (t\Lambda' + 2\Lambda), \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{G'}{G} + \frac{p'}{p} = -\frac{2}{t} \Longleftrightarrow Gp \approx t^{-2}, \quad (164)$$

while

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad (165)$$

that is to say, we have obtained the same results than in the SS section (as it was expected).

Remark 4 Other possibilities could be explored, for example to calculate the algebra of the matter tensor field $T \in T_1^1(M)$ as well as $T \in T_0^2(M)$, note that here we only have studied the case $T \in T_2^0(M)$. Nevertheless and unfortunately for these cases we have not been able to find any interesting result i.e. with physical meaning. I would to thank Prof. G. Hall for drawing my attention about this fact.

VII. KINEMATIC SELF-SIMILARITY.

Kinematic self-similarity has been defined in the context of relativistic fluid mechanics as an example of incomplete similarity (see for example [54]-[60]). It should be noted that the introduction of incomplete similarity to general relativity is not unique.

A spacetime is said to be kinematic self-similar if it admits a kinematic self-similar vector V which satisfies the conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_V h_{ij} = 2\delta h_{ij}, \quad (166)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_V u_i = \alpha u_i, \quad (167)$$

where u^i is the four-velocity of the fluid and $h_{ij} = g_{ij} + u_i u_j$ is the projection tensor, and α and δ are constants .

If $\delta \neq 0$, the similarity transformation is characterized by the scale-independent ratio α/δ , which is referred to as the similarity index. If the ratio is unity, V turns out to be a homothetic vector. In the context of kinematic self-similarity, homothety is referred to as self-similarity of the first kind. If $\alpha = 0$ and $\delta \neq 0$, it is referred to as self-similarity of the zeroth kind. If the ratio is not equal to zero or one, it is referred to as self-similarity of the second kind. If $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\delta = 0$, it is referred to as self-similarity of the infinite kind. If $\delta = \alpha = 0$, V turns out to be a Killing vector.

From the Einstein equation (103), we can derive

$$\mathcal{L}_V G_{ij} = 8\pi G \mathcal{L}_V T_{ij}, \quad (168)$$

this equation is called the integrability condition.

When a perfect fluid is irrotational, i.e., $\omega_{ij} = 0$, the Einstein equations and the integrability conditions (168) give

$$(\alpha - \delta) \mathcal{R}_{ij} = 0, \quad (169)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$ is the Ricci tensor on the hypersurface orthogonal to u^i . This means that if a solution is kinematic self-similar but not homothetic and if the fluid is irrotational, then the hypersurface orthogonal to fluid flow is flat.

From the physical point of view the detailed study of cosmological models admitting KSS shows that they can represent asymptotic states of more general models or, under certain conditions, they are asymptotic to an exact homothetic solution [56, 59].

Therefore and following the same idea as in the above sections we would like to extend this hypothesis in order to find exact solutions to cosmological models with time varying constant.

Kinematic self-similarity are characterized by the equations (166-167), so in this way it is found that the vector field $V := KSS$ is:

$$KSS = -(\alpha t + \beta) \partial_t + f_1 x \partial_x + f_2 y \partial_y + f_3 z \partial_z, \quad (170)$$

where

$$f_1 = \left(\delta + (\alpha t + \beta) \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \right), \quad f_2 = \left(\delta + (\alpha t + \beta) \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} \right), \quad f_3 = \left(\delta + (\alpha t + \beta) \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right). \quad (171)$$

As in the case of the homothetic vector field in this case it is necessary to satisfy the following ODE

$$-\alpha \frac{X'}{X} - (\alpha t + \beta) \frac{X''}{X} + (\alpha t + \beta) \left(\frac{X'}{X} \right)^2 = 0, \quad (172)$$

arriving to the same conclusion i.e.

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{(\alpha t + \beta)}, \quad (173)$$

and therefore the solution follows a power law and hence

$$X = X_0 \left(t + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \quad Y = Y_0 \left(t + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha_2}, \quad Z = Z_0 \left(t + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha_3}, \quad (174)$$

with X_0, Y_0, Z_0 are integrating constants and $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^3 \in \mathbb{R}$. We may also check that the KSS vector field must satisfies the relationship

$$[KSS, \xi_i] = C_{ij}^k \xi_k, \quad (175)$$

where ξ is a Killing vector field, in this case $\xi_i = \partial_i$. This relationship implies that the following equations must be satisfy:

$$\delta + H_i (\alpha t + \beta) = 0, \quad (176)$$

where $H_i = \frac{X'_i}{X}$ respectively, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

In this way we find that

$$H = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \left(t + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-1} = A (t + \gamma)^{-1}, \quad q = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) - 1 = \frac{1}{A} - 1, \quad (177)$$

where $A = \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \right)$, $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \gamma$.

Therefore, we may calculate the energy density from the conservation equation i.e.

$$\rho = \rho_0 (t + \gamma)^{-(\omega+1)A}, \quad (178)$$

so, following the same procedure as in the above sections, we find that

$$\Lambda' = -\frac{\tilde{A}}{c^2} \frac{2}{(t + \gamma)^3} - \frac{8\pi\rho_0}{c^4} \left(G' (t + \gamma)^{-(\omega+1)A} - (\omega + 1)AG (t + \gamma)^{-(\omega+1)A-1} \right), \quad (179)$$

with $\tilde{A} = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\alpha_3$, so constant G has the following behavior

$$G = \frac{c^2}{8\pi\rho_0} \frac{2\tilde{A}}{(\omega + 1)A} (t + \gamma)^{(\omega+1)A-2}, \quad (180)$$

as we can see it is verified the relationship $G\rho \approx (t + \gamma)^{-2}$, as it is expected.

The behavior of G is the following one:

$$G = G_0 (t + \gamma)^{(\omega+1)A-2} \quad \implies \quad \begin{cases} \text{growing if } (\omega + 1)A > 2 \\ \text{constant if } (\omega + 1)A = 2 \\ \text{decreasing if } (\omega + 1)A < 2 \end{cases}. \quad (181)$$

with $G_0 > 0$.

Now, we next to calculate the quantity Λ , therefore from eq. (179) it is found that

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 (t + \gamma)^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \frac{\tilde{A}}{c^2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{(\omega + 1)A} \right), \quad (182)$$

in this way it is observed that

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 (t + \gamma)^{-2}, \quad \begin{cases} \Lambda_0 > 0 \iff 2 < (\omega + 1)A \\ \Lambda_0 = 0 \iff 2 = (\omega + 1)A \\ \Lambda_0 < 0 \iff 2 > (\omega + 1)A \end{cases}. \quad (183)$$

The shear behaves as

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3c^2} \left(\sum_i^3 \alpha_i^2 - \sum_{i \neq j}^3 \alpha_i \alpha_j \right) \frac{1}{(t + \gamma)^2} \neq 0. \quad (184)$$

As in the previous case, the SS solution, we suggest a way to calculate the coefficients (α_i) . They must to satisfy the field equations i.e. they have to be solution of the following system of equations

$$\alpha_2 (\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_3 (\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3 \alpha_2 = \tilde{A} \left(\frac{A - 2}{A} \right), \quad (185)$$

$$\alpha_1 (\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_3 (\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3 \alpha_1 = \tilde{A} \left(\frac{A - 2}{A} \right), \quad (186)$$

$$\alpha_2 (\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_1 (\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \tilde{A} \left(\frac{A - 2}{A} \right), \quad (187)$$

where $\tilde{A} = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\alpha_3$, and $A = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$.

So we have the following solutions for this system of equations:

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3, \quad (188)$$

$$\alpha_1 = 1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \quad (189)$$

as it is observed we have found the same behavior as in the SS case, but in this case the solution is nonsingular.

We would like to stress that a similar solution is already known since 1946 by Narlikar and Karmarkar (see [67]). They found the following solution

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - (kt+1)^p dx^2 - (kt+1)^q dy^2 - (kt+1)^r dz^2, \quad (190)$$

where (p, q, r) must satisfy the following relationships: $p + q + r = 2$, $pq + qr + rp = 0$.

Before end we would like to make a little comment about the Kasner like solutions. If a solution of (185-187) verifies the relationships

$$\sum_i^3 \alpha_i^2 = \sum_i^3 \alpha_i = 1, \quad (191)$$

i.e. they are Kasner's type (see [61], [62] and in particular [44]), then this means that $\tilde{A} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 = 0$, which brings us to get the following result

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \alpha_3 - \sqrt{1 + 2\alpha_3 - 3\alpha_3^2} \right) < 0, \forall \alpha_3 \in (0, 1), \quad (192)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \alpha_3 + \sqrt{1 + 2\alpha_3 - 3\alpha_3^2} \right) > 0, \forall \alpha_3 \in (0, 1), \quad (193)$$

$$\alpha_3 = \alpha_3, \quad (194)$$

we think that this class of solutions are unphysical and have a pathological curvature behavior as it is shown bellow.

We will show that for this class of solutions we have the following curvature behavior

$$I_1 = \frac{16\alpha^4 \alpha_3^2 (1 - \alpha_3)}{c^4 (\alpha t + \beta)^4}, \quad I_2 = 0. \quad (195)$$

The non-zero components of the Weyl tensor are:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1212} &= -\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha^2 (\alpha t + \beta)^{-(1+\alpha_3+\Delta)}, & C_{1313} &= -\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \alpha^2 (\alpha t + \beta)^{-(1+\alpha_3+\Delta)}, & C_{1414} &= \alpha_3 \alpha^2 (\alpha t + \beta)^{-2(1-\alpha_3)} \\ C_{2323} &= \frac{(\alpha_3 - 1) \alpha_3 \alpha^2}{c^2} (\alpha t + \beta)^{-2\alpha_3}, & C_{2424} &= \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \alpha^2}{c^2} (\alpha t + \beta)^{-(1-\alpha_3+\Delta)}, & C_{3434} &= \frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha^2}{c^2} (\alpha t + \beta)^{(\alpha_3+\Delta-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (196)$$

where $\Delta = \sqrt{1 + 2\alpha_3 - 3\alpha_3^2}$. The non-zero components of the electric part of the Weyl tensor are:

$$E_{22} = \frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha^2}{c^2} (\alpha t + \beta)^{-(\alpha_3+\Delta+1)}, \quad E_{33} = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \alpha^2}{c^2} (\alpha t + \beta)^{-(1+\alpha_3-\Delta)}, \quad E_{44} = \frac{(1 - \alpha_3) \alpha_3 \alpha^2}{c^2} (\alpha t + \beta)^{-2(1-\alpha_3)}, \quad (197)$$

and the last invariant has the following behavior

$$I_3 = \frac{16\alpha^4 \alpha_3^2 (1 - \alpha_3)}{c^4 (\alpha t + \beta)^4}, \quad (198)$$

while the gravitational entropy behaves as

$$P^2 = \infty, \quad (199)$$

since $I_2 = 0$. Note that if we take into account another definition for $P^2 = I_3/I_1$, (see [27]-[28]) then we get $P^2 \neq \infty$, since $I_1 \neq 0$.

Furthermore, as we can see, if $\tilde{A} = 0$, then from eqs. (180 and 182) we get

$$G = 0, \quad \Lambda = 0, \quad (200)$$

as it is expected for this class of solutions (vacuum solutions) so they are not interested for us. Nevertheless relaxing the condition $\sum \alpha_i^2 = 1$, to our result i.e. $\sum \alpha_i^2 < 1$, we are able to obtain solutions whit $(\alpha_i) > 0, \forall i$, and $G \neq 0, \Lambda \neq 0$.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS.

We have shown how to attack a perfect fluid Bianchi I with G and Λ variable under the condition $\text{div } T = 0$. In the appendix B we will show how to modify these tactics to study this class of models but relaxing the condition $\text{div } T = 0$, i.e. without considering the condition $\text{div } T = 0$ and taking into account only the hypothesis of SS.

With the first of the exposed tactics, i.e. the Lie group one, we have solved the field equations, solving only one ODE, eq. (29), studying the possible forms that takes $G(t)$ in order to make eq. (29) integrable. We have started imposing a particular symmetry, $X = (at+e)\partial_t + b\partial_\rho$, to study all the possible symmetries would result a very tedious work.

In this way we have obtained three exact solutions (well actually only two) in function of the behavior of $G(t)$. We have seen that the scaling symmetry, $X = at\partial_t + b\partial_\rho$, brings us to get the already known flat FRW solution since we have arrive to the conclusion that $\sigma = 0$, i.e. the shear vanish, and therefore we have rejected this solution since we are only interested in solutions that verify the condition $\sigma \neq 0$. The second of the obtained solutions, exponential behavior for $G(t)$, brings us to rule it out, since this solution is only possible if $\rho = 0$. The last of the obtained solutions, the third one, which is quite similar to the obtained one by Kalligas et al (see [13]), also has been ruled it out in spite of seeming with physical meaning, $\sigma \neq 0$, etc..., since when we calculate the numerical values of the exponents of the scale factors $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^3$, we shown that the only possible solution is the flat FRW one but, which is more incredible, with $G = \text{const.}$ and Λ vanishing. This has been a really surprising result, since we think that the followed tactic, i.e. solving eq. (29) without imposing any assumption ad hoc, brings us to get consistent results, i.e. $\sigma \neq 0$, $G(t) \neq \text{const.}$ and of course, $\Lambda \neq 0$.

It is clear that the latter solution is quite similar to the obtained one by Kalligas et al, in fact, we have followed their method in order to arrive to the same equation, but all the time, we have tried to avoid to assume ad hoc any particular behavior for any of the quantities. Instead of following this way, we have preferred to deduce from a symmetry principle the possible behaviors for the function G . Nevertheless Kalligas et al never arrive until the last consequences in their calculations, since they did not try to find the possible values for the exponents for the scale factors $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^3$, for this reason their result looks with physical meaning.

In appendix A we will show by solving the second order differential equation (27), using the same procedure as the exposed one in section IV, that it is obtained, at least in order of magnitude, the same behavior as the obtained one in section IV. Nevertheless, since we have two constrains, which means that we have two integration constants, and therefore in principle they are unknown we are introducing more uncertain in our solutions. Remember that in section IV we had only one constrain, G_0 , and this fact allows us to arrive to a complete solution for each of the quantities. In this way, and following the same procedure, in this case we are not able to rule the solutions out, except in the case of exponential behavior, since we get solutions with $\sigma \neq 0$, although as we already know this is only a mathematical drawback.

Nevertheless and knowing that this method has this kind of drawbacks we have preferred to show both methods in other to show that at least in order of magnitude, both methods arrive to the same conclusion i.e. we get the same behavior. In a forthcoming paper we study a more complicated model (from the mathematical point of view) which is a Bianchi I within the framework of variable speed of light (VSL). In this case will be more useful to study the second order ODE instead of the resulting third order ODE, which is really complicate of studying from the point of view of the Lie method since this equation will have four unknowns. Therefore, as we already know, we get the same order of magnitude studying the second order ODE instead of the third order ODE (other question will be how to solve the problem of the integrating constants, but this will be other history).

At the same time we have shown that it is not necessary to make any ad hoc assumption or to take into account any previous hypothesis or considering any hypothetical behavior for any quantity since all these hypotheses could be deduced from the symmetry principles, as for example using the Lie group methods or studying the model from the point of view of the geometrical symmetries i.e. SS etc...

With regard to the other tactics employed to study the field equations, i.e. SS, MC and KSS, we have shown that both tactics are quite similar and that they bring us to get really similar results, actually as we already know, with the SS and the MC we get the same results.

We have shown that the solution obtained with the SS hypothesis is also quite similar to the obtained one using the Lie method under the scale symmetry, except than here, we get the important result $\sigma \neq 0$. This solution also is valid for all equation of state i.e. $\forall \omega \in (-1, 1)$, which enlarge the possibilities for this kind of solutions as we will see in appendix B. Furthermore, the exponents must satisfy the following relationships $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, and $\sum \alpha_i^2 < 1$. We would like to point out that if $\omega = 1$, then we regain the classical solution where G behaves as a true constant while Λ vanish. In appendix B we have tried to show that this class of solutions are consistent with previous results obtained by other authors. Nevertheless other authors that have studied this kind of models (under the SS as well as KSS hypothesis, but with constants as true constants and therefore with Λ vanishing) have arrived to the conclusion that these solutions must satisfy the relationships $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, and $\sum \alpha_i^2 = 1$. We think that this class of solutions are

unphysical since necessarily one of the scale factors must be a decreasing time function (maybe such class of solutions would have any interest in the study of singularities). Furthermore such conditions are quite restrictive and impose strong restrictions to the curvature tensors, for example, the model is Ricci flat which means that $I_2 = 0$, so the gravitational entropy is infinite.

With regard to the behavior of the “constants” G and Λ we would like to stress that we have arrive to some surprising results since $\forall \omega \in (-1, 1)$, G is a decreasing function on time while Λ is also a decreasing time function but negative i.e. $\Lambda < 0$. In the same framework, for the case of the flat FRW, always G is growing. The main difference between the SS and the KSS solution is that the KSS one is nonsingular while the SS one is singular.

We furthermore have pointed out, as it is well known, that if the ST is SS then there is a vector field, $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ that satisfies the equation $\mathcal{L}_V g = 2g$, then such vector field must satisfy the equation $\mathcal{L}_V T = 0$, i.e. a homothetic vector field is also a MC vector field. Modifying in an appropriate way the MC equations we have been able to find the same relationships as in the case of the SS solution. Therefore we have shown that this tactic would be very useful in the study of more complicated models as for example the viscous ones.

To end, we would like to comment the obtained results in appendix B. In this appendix we have found four exact solutions for different Bianchi I models under the SS hypothesis. In the first of them, we study the standard Bianchi I model i.e. which where $G = \text{const.}$ and $\Lambda = 0$. We have found again the solution already obtained for many authors, but with the restrictions $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, $\sum \alpha_i^2 < 1$ iff $\omega = 1$.

In the other studied cases we consider the possibility of one of the constants vary as well as both vary at the same time but with the condition $\text{div } T \neq 0$. Therefore, in the model with only G time-varying we find again, as in the previous case, that it is only possible if are verified the same conditions i.e. $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, $\sum \alpha_i^2 < 1$ iff $\omega = 1$. If $\omega \neq 1$, then the models collapses to the flat FRW one. Nevertheless in the case where Λ vary or both constants vary we show that such possibilities are possible iff $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, $\sum \alpha_i^2 < 1$ and $\omega \neq 1$. We find that G is a decreasing time function on time while Λ is a negative decreasing time function. We have shown how to regain, in a trivial way, the condition $\text{div } T = 0$.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank to G.S. Hall and T. Harko their comments and specially to Laura Fernández for her support.

-
- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. R. Soc. London **A165**, 199 (1938).
 - [2] A-M. M. Abdel-Rahman, Nuovo Cimento **B102**, 225 (1988).
A-M. M. Abdel-Rahman, Phys. Rev. **D45**, 3497 (1992).
 - [3] M. S. Berman, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 1075 (1991).
 - [4] J. C. Carvalho, J. A. S. Lima and I. Waga, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 2404 (1992).
 - [5] W. Chen and Y. S. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 695 (1990).
 - [6] Y. K. Lau, Aust. J. Phys. **38**, 547 (1985).
Y. K. Lau and S. J. Prokhovnik, Aust. J. Phys. **39**, 339 (1986).
 - [7] J. A. S. Lima and M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 4280 (1996).
 - [8] T. Singh, A. Beesham and W. S. Mbokazi, Gen. Rel. Grav. **30**, 573 (1988).
 - [9] R. F. Sistero, Gen. Rel. Grav. **23**, 1265 (1991).
 - [10] J. A. S. Lima and J. M. F. Maia, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 5597 (1994).
 - [11] I. Waga, Astrophys. J. **414**, 436 (1993).
 - [12] A. Beesham, Gen. Rel. Grav. **26**, 159 (1994).
 - [13] D. Kalligas, P.S. Wesson and C.W.F. Everitt. Gen. Rel. Grav. **27**, 645 (1995)
 - [14] B. Saha, Astrophysics Space Science, **302**, 83-91, (2006). gr-qc/0411080.
 - [15] J.P. Singh, A. Pradhan and A.K. Singh. gr-qc/0705.0459.
 - [16] A.I.Arbab. Gen. Rel. Grav. **30**, 1401, (1998).
 - [17] A. Pradhan and P. Pandey. Astro. Space. Science, **301**, 127-134, (2006) gr-qc/0407112
 - [18] B. Saha, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A16**, 1287-1296, (2001). gr-qc/0009002.
 - [19] Ellis, G.F.R. and MacCallum, M.A.H.: Commun. Math. Phys. **12**, 108, (1969).
 - [20] MacCallum, M.A.H.: Commun. Math. Phys. **20**, 57, (1971).
 - [21] Collins, C.B.: Commun. Math. Phys. **23**, 137, (1971).
 - [22] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Hertl, “Exact Solutions of Einstein’s Field Equations”, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, (2003).
 - [23] A.Z. Petrov. “Einstein Spaces”. Pergamon Press. London (1969).
 - [24] M.P. Ryan and L.C. Shapley. “Homogeneous Relativistic Cosmologies”. Princeton, N.J. PUP (1975).
 - [25] A.K. Raychaudhuri. “Theoretical Cosmology”. Oxford University Press (1979).

- [26] J. Caminati and R.G. Mclenaghan. J. Math. Phys. **32**, 3135, (1991).
- [27] $\mathcal{O}$. Rudjord and $\mathcal{O}$. Gr ρ n. qr-qc/0607064.
- [28] $\mathcal{O}$. Gr ρ n and S. Hervik. gr-qc/0205026
- [29] J.D. Barrow and S. Hervik. Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 5173, (2002).
- [30] N. H. Ibragimov, “Elementary Lie Group Analysis and Ordinary Differential Equations”. Jonh Wiley & Sons, (1999).
- [31] P. T. Olver, “Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations”. Springer-Verlang, (1993).
- [32] B. J. Cantwell, “Introduction to Symmetry Analysis”. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2002).
- [33] G.W Bluman and S.C. Anco. “Symmetry and Integral Methods for Differential Equations”. Springer-Verlang (2002).
- [34] J.A. Belinchón. “Similarity versus Symmetries”. gr-qc/0404028.
- [35] M.E. Cahill and A.H. Taub. Commun. Math. Phys. **21**, 1 (1971).
- [36] G. I. Barenblatt and Y. B. Zeldovich, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. **4**, 285 (1972).
- [37] D. M. Eardley, Commun. Math. Phys. **37**, 287 (1974).
- [38] J. Wainwright, “Self-Similar Solutions of Einstein’s Equations”. Published in Galaxies, Axisymmetric Systems & Relativity. ed M.A.H MacCallum CUP (1985).
- J. Wainwright, Gen. Rel. Grav. **16**, 657 (1984).
- [39] K. Rosquits and R. Jantzen, Class. Quantum Grav., **2**, L129, (1985).
- K. Rosquits and R. Jantzen, “Transitivity Self-Similarity Space-Times”. Proc. Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity. Ed. Ruffini. Elsevier S.P. (1986). pg 1033.
- [40] B. J. Carr and A. A. Coley, Class. Quantum Grav. **16**, R31 (1999).
- [41] K.L. Duggal and R. Sharma. “Symmetries of spacetimes and Riemmanian Manifolds”. Kluwer Academic Publisher. (1999)
- [42] G. S. Hall. “Symmetries and Curvature in General Relativity”. World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics. Vol 46 (2004).
- [43] L.Hsu and J. Wainwright. Class. Quantum Grav, **3**, 1105-24,(1986).
- [44] M. Tsamparlis and P.S. Apostolopoulos. J. Math. Phys **41**, 7573-7588, (2000),.
- [45] M. Sharif. Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D14**, 1675-1684, (2005).
- [46] Carot, J., da Costa, J. and Vaz, E.G.L.R.: J. Math. Phys. **35**, 4832,(1994).
- [47] Hall, G.S., Roy, I. and Vaz, L.R.: Gen. Rel and Grav. **28**, 299,(1996).
- [48] Carot, J. and da Costa, J.: *Procs. of the 6th Canadian Conf. on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics*, Fields Inst. Commun. 15, Amer. Math. Soc. WC Providence, RI(1997)179.
- [49] Yavuz, İ., and Camcı, U.: Gen. Rel. Grav.**28**, 691,(1996).
- [50] Camcı, U., Yavuz, İ., Baysal, H., Tarhan, İ., and Yilmaz, İ.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D10**, 751,(2001).
- [51] Camcı, U. and Barnes, A.: Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 393, (2002).
- [52] Sharif, M.: Nuovo Cimento **B116**, 673, (2001).
- Sharif, M. Astrophys. Space Sci. **278**, 447, (2001).
- [53] M. Tsamparlis and P.S. Apostolopoulos.: Gen. Rel. and Grav. **36**, 47, (2004).
- [54] B. Carter and R. N. Henriksen, Ann. Physique Supp. No 6 **14**, 47 (1989)
- [55] B. Carter and R. N. Henriksen, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 2580 (1991)
- [56] A. A. Coley, Class.Quantum Grav. **14**, 87 (1997).
- [57] H. Maeda and T. Harada. This is a review for a part of the book “Progress in General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology Research” (Nova Science Publ.,2004). arXiv:gr-qc/0405113 .
- [58] H. Maeda and T. Harada, H. Iguchi and N. Okuyama. Prog. Theor. Phys. **110**, 25, (2003).
- [59] P. M. Benoit and A. A. Coley, Class. Quantum Grav. **15**, 2397, (1998)
- [60] A. M. Sintes, Class. Quantum Grav.. **15**, 3689 (1998)
- [61] E. Kasner. Am. J. Math. **43**, 217, (1921).
- [62] E. Schücking and O. Heckmann. Onz. Con. Phys. Solvay. Brullseles. Stoops (1958).
- [63] A. Einstein and W. de Sitter. Proc. Nat. Acad. Scien. USA, **18**, 213, (1932).
- [64] E.R. Harrison. Mont. Not. R. Ast. Soc. **137**, 69, (1967).
- [65] K. C. Jacobs, Astrophys. J. **153** 661 (1968).
- [66] A. Harvey. Gen. Rel. Grav. **22**, 1433, (1990).
- [67] V.V. Narlikar and K.R. Karmarkar. Curr. Scien. **15**, 69, (1946).
- [68] P. Rastall. Phys. Rev. **D6** 3357 (1972),
- [69] T. Harko and M.K. Mak. Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **8**, 607-624, (1999).

APPENDIX A: LIE METHOD.

As we said in the introduction, we would like to compare some different techniques in order to be sure which of them is better when one is studying more complicate models, as for example a Bianchi I with c-var. For this purpose, in this section, we study the set of solutions for the eq.

$$\ddot{\rho} = K_1 \frac{\dot{\rho}^2}{\rho} + K_2 G \rho^2 - K_3 \Lambda \rho, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where

$$K_1 = \frac{2 + \omega}{1 + \omega}, \quad K_2 = \frac{12\pi(\omega^2 - 1)}{c^2}, \quad K_3 = 3(1 + \omega)c^2. \quad (\text{A2})$$

note that we have the very simple case $K_2 = 0 \iff \omega = 1$, i.e.

$$\ddot{\rho} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{\rho}^2}{\rho} - 6c^2 \Lambda \rho. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Observe that we have a second order ODE with three unknowns, which looks simpler than the previous case (see section IV), but actually, as we will show, this tactic has many drawbacks, for example the obtained solutions depend on many integrating constants and hence it is quite difficult to “predict” their behavior or to get rid of some of them.

Following the previous procedure we get:

$$K_1 \xi_\rho + \rho \xi_{\rho\rho} = 0, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$K_1(\eta - \rho\eta_\rho) + \rho^2(\eta_{\rho\rho} - 2\xi_{t\rho}) = 0, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$3\rho^3 \xi_\rho (K_3 \Lambda - K_2 \rho G) - 2K_1 \rho \eta_t + 2\rho^2 \eta_{t\rho} - \xi_{tt} \rho^2 = 0, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\eta \rho^2 (K_3 \Lambda - K_2 \rho G) + 2\rho^3 \xi_t (K_3 \Lambda - K_2 \rho G) - \rho^3 \eta_\rho (K_3 \Lambda - K_2 \rho G) + \xi \rho^3 (K_3 \Lambda' - K_2 \rho G') + \rho^2 \eta_{tt} = 0, \quad (\text{A7})$$

where from (A7) we get the following constrains if we impose the scaling symmetry $X = (at + e) \partial_t + b\rho \partial_\rho$, (as in the above case)

$$\frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda} = -\frac{2a}{at + e}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\frac{G'}{G} = -\frac{b + 2a}{at + e}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

Therefore we go next to study the set of the possible solutions. As in section IV, we may follow the standard procedure but we would like to point out (to stress) that in this case we have two integration constant Λ_0 and G_0 instead of only one as above so we are introducing more uncertain in this approach. Therefore we find again three solutions, the scaling and the full symmetry and the exponential one. The scaling solution has the same behavior as the obtained one in section IV, at least in order of magnitude, but we are not able to rule it out since depends of a lot of numerical constants so for example we do not arrive to the conclusion that $\sigma = 0$, as above. With the regard to the full symmetry we have the same history, we find that this solution has the same order of magnitude and that it is nonsingular but depends of many integration as well as numerical constants so the obtained solution is very imprecise although it looks with physical meaning. To end and as in the above solution we are also able to get rid of the exponential solution, in this case $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 = \text{const.}$ and therefore $\rho_0 = 0$. We may see, for example, how works all this procedure in the case of the scaling symmetry because the rest of the cases are exactly the same as the exposed ones in section IV.

1. Scaling symmetry

In this case we have $X = at\partial_t + b\rho\partial_\rho$ and hence

$$\frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda} = -\frac{2}{t} \implies \Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$\frac{G'}{G} = -\frac{b + 2a}{at} \implies G = G_0 t^{-2 - \frac{b}{a}}, \quad G_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (\text{A11})$$

i.e. we are “assuming” that Λ_0 is a real constant (but at this point, we do not know which is its sign) and G_0 is a positive real constant, while

$$\frac{dt}{at} = \frac{d\rho}{b\rho} \implies \rho = \rho_0 t^{\frac{b}{a}}, \quad \rho_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (\text{A12})$$

making the assumption, $ab < 0$ with $b < 0$, and where ρ_0 is a positive real constant. As we can see, it is verified the relationship $G\rho = t^{-2}$, i.e. the Mach relationship for the inertia.

Therefore

$$\rho \approx t^{\frac{b}{a}} \text{ is decreasing,} \quad G \approx t^{-2-\frac{b}{a}} \begin{cases} \text{growing if } -b > 2a \\ \text{constant if } -b = 2a \\ \text{decreasing if } -b < 2a \end{cases}, \quad \Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

but at this point we have not any information about the sing of Λ_0 .

Since ρ must verifies eq. (A10) with $G(t)$ and $\Lambda(t)$ given by eqs. (A10-A11), we find ρ_0 ,

$$\rho_0 = \frac{c^2 \left(ab(1+\omega) + b^2 - 3c^2 \Lambda_0 a^2 (1+\omega)^2 \right)}{G_0 12\pi a^2 (1+\omega)^2 (1-\omega)}, \quad (\text{A14})$$

with the only assumption $\omega \in (-1, 1)$, i.e. $\omega \neq -1$, and $\omega \neq 1$

$$\rho_0 > 0 \iff b^2 > -ab(1+\omega) + 3c^2 \Lambda_0 a^2 (1+\omega)^2, \quad (\text{A15})$$

with $ab < 0$. Furthermore, ρ must verify eq. (14) so

$$\Lambda' = -\frac{8\pi G'}{c^4} \rho \implies -\Lambda_0 = \left(2 + \frac{b}{a} \right) \frac{4\pi}{c^4} G_0 \rho_0 \implies \rho_0 = -\frac{a\Lambda_0 c^4}{4\pi G_0 (2a+b)}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

and hence

$$c^2 \Lambda_0 = -\frac{b}{3a(1+\omega)} \left(1 + \frac{b}{3a(1+\omega)} \right) \left(\frac{2a+b}{a(1-\omega) + 2a+b} \right). \quad (\text{A17})$$

Remark 5 As it is observed we are obtaining the same order of magnitude for each quantity, but in this case, we have less information about the behavior of the numerical constants, since they depend on more integrating constants.

As in the above section, with regard to H we find that

$$K t^\alpha = R_0 t^{-b/a(1+\omega)}, \quad \implies \quad \sum_i^3 \alpha_i = \alpha = -\frac{b}{a(1+\omega)}, \quad (\text{A18})$$

as in the previous cases.

The shear has the following behavior.

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3c^2(1+\omega)^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^2 - \left(8\pi \frac{G}{c^4} \rho + \Lambda \right) = \left(\frac{\omega+1}{1-\omega} \right) \left[\Lambda_0 - \frac{b^2}{a^2} \frac{1}{3c^2(1+\omega)^2} \left(1 + 2\frac{a}{b} \right) \right] t^{-2} = \sigma_0^2 t^{-2}, \quad (\text{A19})$$

therefore we have the following possibilities $\forall \omega \in (-1, 1)$. Note that if $\omega < -1$ (phantom case) then we need to make other considerations. Since $\sigma^2 > 0$, note that $\frac{a}{b} < 0$, then we may suppose that $\Lambda_0 > 0$ and then

$$b+2a < 0 \quad \implies \quad \Lambda_0 - \frac{b^2}{a^2} \frac{1}{3c^2(1+\omega)^2} \left(1 + 2\frac{a}{b} \right) > 0, \quad (\text{A20})$$

$$b+2a = 0 \quad \implies \quad \sigma_0^2 = 0, \quad \text{for eq. (A17),} \quad (\text{A21})$$

$$b+2a > 0 \quad \implies \quad \Lambda_0 > \left| \frac{b^2}{a^2} \frac{1}{3c^2(1+\omega)^2} \left(1 + 2\frac{a}{b} \right) \right|, \quad (\text{A22})$$

but if $\Lambda_0 < 0$ then we have the next possibilities

$$\frac{b^2}{a^2} \frac{1}{3c^2(1+\omega)^2} \left(1 + 2\frac{a}{b} \right) > |\Lambda_0|, \quad (\text{A23})$$

with $b+2a < 0$, otherwise the solutions lack of any mathematical meaning and hence of physical one. In this way we note that we have loss of information since we have two integration constant, G_0 and Λ_0 while in the previous case we were able to determine perfectly the behavior of each quantity and in this case we have less information about their behavior although both cases are quite similar at least in order of magnitude.

Remark 6 Therefore, we may say that this tactic is also valid, but has the strong drawback of giving us less information about the obtained solution.

APPENDIX B: ON SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS.

In this appendix we are going to study several Bianchi I models and we will show how it is possible to find exact solutions to the field equations (without the condition $\text{div}T = 0$) under the hypothesis of SS.

The time derivatives of G and Λ are related by the Bianchi identities i.e. eq. (5) that in this case collapses to the following one:

$$\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} + \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right) = f(t), \quad (\text{B1})$$

where $f(t)$ is a function that depends on time and controls the time variation of the constant G or/and Λ . If $G = \text{const.}$ and Λ vanish then $f(t) = 0$, so the model collapses to the standard one. This idea was pointed out by Rastal (see [68]) and improved (in the theoretical framework of time varying constants) by Harko and Mak (see [69]).

Therefore the resulting field equations are (9-12) together to the new one

$$\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) H = f(t), \quad (\text{B2})$$

with

$$H = \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} + \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right) = 3 \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \quad \text{and} \quad R^3 = XYZ, \quad (\text{B3})$$

i.e. we are following the same notation of the previous sections.

We remember that the homothetic vector field is:

$$V = t\partial_t + \left(1 - t\frac{\dot{X}}{X}\right)x\partial_x + \left(1 - t\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y}\right)y\partial_y + \left(1 - t\frac{\dot{Z}}{Z}\right)z\partial_z, \quad (\text{B4})$$

so this means that we have obtained the following behavior for the scale factors:

$$X = X_0 t^{\alpha_1}, \quad Y = Y_0 t^{\alpha_2}, \quad Z = Z_0 t^{\alpha_3}, \quad (\text{B5})$$

with X_0, Y_0, Z_0 are integrating constants and $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^3 \in \mathbb{R}$. In this way we find that

$$H = \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \right) \frac{1}{t} = \frac{\alpha}{t}, \quad q = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) - 1 = \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1, \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3c^2} \left(\sum_i^3 \alpha_i^2 - \sum_{i \neq j}^3 \alpha_i \alpha_j \right) \frac{1}{t^2}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

1. “Constants” constant.

In this case we consider $f(t) = 0$, so this means that $G = \text{const.}$ and Λ vanish and therefore we get that from eq. (B2) that

$$\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) H = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho = \rho_0 t^{-(\omega+1)\alpha}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

From the field equations (9) we get that

$$\rho_0 = \frac{Ac^2}{8\pi G}, \quad \alpha = \frac{2}{(\omega+1)}, \quad (\text{B8})$$

where $A = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\alpha_3$.

The shear has the following behavior, $\sigma^2 \neq 0$, as it is observed $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ as $(\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_j)$. As in the previous sections, we may calculate the coefficients (α_i) by solving the following system of equations:

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_2 = -A\omega, \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$\alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_1 = -A\omega, \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_1\alpha_2 = -A\omega, \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$\alpha(\omega + 1) = 2, \quad (\text{B12})$$

where $A = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\alpha_3$, and $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$.

So we have the following solutions for this system of equations:

$$\alpha_1 = 1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \quad \omega = 1, \quad (\text{B13})$$

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \frac{2}{3(\omega + 1)}, \quad (\text{B14})$$

as it is observed only solution (B13) is interesting for us. The second solution is the usual FRW one, so it is not interesting for us (see Einstein&de Sitter ([63]) for $\omega = 0$, and Harrison ([64]) $\forall \omega$). Nevertheless we have found that solution (B13) verifies the conditions

$$\alpha = \sum \alpha_i = 1, \quad \sum \alpha_i^2 < 1, \quad (\text{B15})$$

but iff $\omega = 1$. (see [43]) while other authors claim that must be satisfies the condition $\sum \alpha_i^2 = 1$, (see [61], [62] and [65]) and in particular, in this context (see [44]).

Therefore we have obtained the following behavior for the main quantities:

$$H = \frac{1}{t}, \quad \implies \quad q = 0, \quad (\text{B16})$$

so it is quite difficult to reconcile this model with the observational data. With regard to the energy density we find that

$$\rho = \frac{Ac^2}{8\pi G}t^{-2}, \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3c^2}(1 + 3A)\frac{1}{t^2}, \quad (\text{B17})$$

and with regard to the constants $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^3$ we have that only obtain a BI solution iff $\alpha_1 = 1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$, (where furthermore we suppose that $\alpha_2 \neq \alpha_3$) and that this result only is possible if the equation of state is $\omega = 1$, i.e. ultra-stiff matter (see [43]). For a review of Bianchi I solutions see for example ([66]).

2. G -variable.

In this case we are going to consider that only vary “constant” G . This only possible if we take into account the condition $\text{div}T \neq 0$ and therefore $f(t) = -\frac{G'}{G}\rho$, so eq. (B2) collapses to the following one.

$$\frac{\rho'}{\rho} + \frac{G'}{G} = -(1 + \omega)\frac{\alpha}{t}, \quad \implies \quad \rho G = t^{-(1+\omega)\alpha}, \quad (\text{B18})$$

From the field equations (9) we get that

$$G\rho = \frac{c^2}{4\pi} \frac{A}{\alpha(1 + \omega)} \frac{1}{t^2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{2}{(\omega + 1)}. \quad (\text{B19})$$

The shear has the following behavior, $\sigma^2 \neq 0$, as it is observed $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ as $(\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_j)$. As in the previous sections, to calculate the coefficients (α_i) we need to solve the following system of equations:

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_2 = -A\omega, \quad (\text{B20})$$

$$\alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_1 = -A\omega, \quad (\text{B21})$$

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_1\alpha_2 = -A\omega, \quad (\text{B22})$$

$$\alpha(\omega + 1) = 2, \quad (\text{B23})$$

where $A = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\alpha_3$, and $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. So we have the same solution as in the above case.

Therefore we have obtained the following behavior for the main quantities:

$$H = \frac{1}{t}, \quad \implies \quad q = 0, \quad (\text{B24})$$

and

$$G\rho = \frac{Ac^2}{8\pi}t^{-2}, \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3c^2}(1 - 3A)\frac{1}{t^2}, \quad (\text{B25})$$

note that this result is quite similar to the obtained one in the last solution i.e. the obtained one in eq. (B17), but we are not able to get a separate behavior for the quantities G and ρ .

3. Λ -variable.

In this case we consider only the variation of the cosmological constant Λ , so eq. (B2) yields

$$\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} + \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right) = -\frac{\dot{\Lambda}c^4}{8\pi G}, \quad (\text{B26})$$

and therefore from the field equations (9) we get that

$$\Lambda' = -\frac{A}{c^2} \frac{2}{t^3} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \rho', \quad (\text{B27})$$

and hence

$$\rho = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{A}{(1 + \omega)} \frac{1}{t^2}. \quad (\text{B28})$$

Now, we next to calculate the quantity Λ , from eq. (B27) we get

$$\Lambda = \frac{A}{c^2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{(1 + \omega)\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{t^2}, \quad (\text{B29})$$

in this way it is observed that

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \begin{cases} \Lambda_0 > 0 \iff (\omega + 1)\alpha > 2 \\ \Lambda_0 = 0 \iff (\omega + 1)\alpha = 2 \\ \Lambda_0 < 0 \iff (\omega + 1)\alpha < 2 \end{cases}. \quad (\text{B30})$$

The shear has the following behavior, $\sigma^2 \neq 0$, by hypothesis. As in the previous sections, we calculate the coefficients (α_i) from following system of equations:

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_2 = A \left(\frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right), \quad (\text{B31})$$

$$\alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_1 = A \left(\frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right), \quad (\text{B32})$$

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_1\alpha_2 = A \left(\frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right), \quad (\text{B33})$$

where $A = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\alpha_3$, and $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$.

So we have the following solutions for this system of equations:

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3, \quad (\text{B34})$$

$$\alpha_1 = 1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \quad (\text{B35})$$

as it is observed solution (B34) is not interesting for us, since it is unphysical (in this context). Only the second solution has physical meaning and it is valid $\forall \omega \in (-1, 1]$. Nevertheless we have found that this solution only verifies the first of the condition of the Kasner like solutions i.e.

$$\alpha = \sum \alpha_i = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum \alpha_i^2 < 1, \quad (\text{B36})$$

and it is valid $\forall \omega \in (-1, 1]$.

Therefore we have obtained the following behavior for the main quantities:

$$H = \frac{1}{t}, \quad \implies \quad q = 0, \quad (\text{B37})$$

while with regard to the energy density we find that

$$\rho = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{A}{(1 + \omega)} \frac{1}{t^2}, \quad (\text{B38})$$

so, if $\omega < -1 \implies \rho$ is negative (phantom cosmologies), for the rest of the values of ω , i.e. $\omega \in (-1, 1]$, ρ is a decreasing function on time.

The cosmological “constant” behaves as follows

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_0 = 0 \iff \omega = 1, \\ \Lambda_0 < 0, \quad \forall \omega \in (-1, 1) \end{cases}, \quad (\text{B39})$$

so we have found that Λ is a “*negative decreasing function*” on time. As we can see this solution is quite different of the previous ones, since here we have obtained a solution type Bianchi I $\forall \omega \in (-1, 1)$ while in the previous ones this only happens if $\omega = 1$. Here if $\omega = 1$ then we regain the first of the studied cases i.e. which one where Λ vanish and G behaves as a true constant.

4. G & Λ -variable.

In this case we are going to consider that both “constants” G and Λ vary, as in section V, but in this occasion it is not verified the additional condition $\text{div}T = 0$, therefore eq. (B2) yields

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left[\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) \left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} + \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} \right) \right] = -\dot{\Lambda} - \frac{8\pi}{c^4} \dot{G} \rho, \quad (\text{B40})$$

so from the field equations (9) and (14) we get that

$$-\frac{2A}{t^3} = -\frac{8\pi}{c^2} (G' \rho + \rho' G) + \Lambda' c^2, \quad (\text{B41})$$

and taking into account the eq.

$$\dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega) H = -\frac{\dot{\Lambda} c^4}{8\pi G} - \frac{\dot{G}}{G} \rho, \quad (\text{B42})$$

we get

$$-\frac{2A}{t^3} = -\frac{8\pi}{c^2} \left(-G\rho(1 + \omega) \frac{\alpha}{t} \right), \quad (\text{B43})$$

and hence

$$G\rho = \frac{c^2}{4\pi} \frac{A}{(\omega + 1)\alpha} t^{-2}. \quad (\text{B44})$$

as we can see it is verified the relationship $G\rho \approx t^{-2}$, as it is expected. In fact it is impossible to separate both functions (to get the behavior of both functions independently), to do that we need to impose a condition, but precisely we are trying to avoid such way.

Now taking into account again eq. (9) we get

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \frac{A}{c^2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{(\omega + 1)\alpha} \right), \quad (\text{B45})$$

in this way it is observed that

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \begin{cases} \Lambda_0 > 0 \iff (\omega + 1)\alpha > 1 \\ \Lambda_0 = 0 \iff (\omega + 1)\alpha = 1 \\ \Lambda_0 < 0 \iff (\omega + 1)\alpha < 1 \end{cases}. \quad (\text{B46})$$

The shear behaves (see eq. (20)) as follows: $\sigma^2 \neq 0$, by hypothesis. In order to find the value of constants (α_i) , we make that them verify the field eqs. so in this case we get the following system of eqs.:

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_2 = A \left(\frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right), \quad (\text{B47})$$

$$\alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3\alpha_1 = A \left(\frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right), \quad (\text{B48})$$

$$\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + \alpha_1\alpha_2 = A \left(\frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right), \quad (\text{B49})$$

where $A = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\alpha_3$, and $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. Therefore we obtain the same solution as in the last studied case finding in this way the following behavior for the main quantities:

$$H = \frac{1}{t}, \quad \implies \quad q = 0, \quad (\text{B50})$$

and with regard to the product $G\rho$ we get

$$G\rho = \frac{c^2}{4\pi} \frac{A}{(\omega + 1)} t^{-2}, \quad (\text{B51})$$

but we cannot say anything more. The cosmological “constant” behaves as follows

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 t^{-2}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_0 = 0 \iff \omega = 1 \\ \Lambda_0 < 0, \quad \forall \omega \in (-1, 1) \end{cases}, \quad (\text{B52})$$

so we have found that Λ is a negative decreasing function on time.

In order to try to find a separate behavior for the functions ρ and G , we may suppose that

$$\rho = \rho_0 t^{-a}, \quad G = G_0 t^{a-2}, \quad \implies \quad G\rho = \frac{c^2}{4\pi} \frac{A}{(\omega + 1)} t^{-2} = K t^{-2}, \quad (\text{B53})$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$, i.e. for example we may choice

$$G = \frac{c^2}{4\pi\rho_0} \frac{A}{(\omega + 1)} t^{a-2} = \frac{K}{\rho_0} t^{a-2}, \quad (\text{B54})$$

therefore, it is verified the field eq. (B40) for all the possible values of a , but if $a = (\omega + 1)$ then we regain the condition $\text{div } T = 0$ as well as $f(t) = 0$, i.e.

$$\text{div } T = \dot{\rho} + \rho(1 + \omega)H = 0 = -\frac{\dot{\Lambda}c^4}{8\pi G} - \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\rho = f(t). \quad (\text{B55})$$